

## Agenda

<b>Meeting name</b>	CMP281 Workgroup 3
<b>Date of meeting</b>	16 October 2017
<b>Time</b>	13.00- 15.30
<b>Location</b>	National Grid House, Warwick
<b>Dial in:</b>	020 7108 6317 (Meeting number (access code): 592 276 535)

Item	Topic	Lead
1	Introductions and meeting objectives	CW
2	Review of Actions	HC
3	Update on Ofgem's consultation on Generator Licence	All
4	Further analysis required for the WG rpt. and consideration of alternatives and whether this would include SVA	All
5	Legal text	All
6	Areas for future work and next meeting date, including review of timetable	All

Name	Company	Role
Caroline Wright	Code Admin	Chair
Heena Chauhan	Code Admin	Tec Sec
Urmi Mistry	National Grid	NGRID Rep
Rupert Steele (Proposer)	Scottish Power	WG Member
Bill Reed	RWE	WG Member
Tim Ellingham	RWE	WG alternate
Robert Longden	Cornwall Energy	WG Member
Libby Glazebrook	Engie	WG Member
Lee Taylor	Engie	WG alternate
Paul Mott	EDF Energy	WG Member
Andrew Colley	SSE	WG Member
Fruzina Kemenes	Innogy	WG Member
Paul Youngman	Drax	WG Member
Binoy Dharsi	EDF Energy	WG alternate
Nicholas Rubin	ELEXON	Observer

## Terms of Reference:

Specific areas	When cover
a) Consider co-location of generation and storage assets	
b) Consider the practical implications of solution e.g. that all metered data is available to National Grid to support the proposed solution.	
c) Consider the impacts on RCRC and BSC arrangements.	
d) Consider the interaction with CMP250	
e) Consider impacts on foot-room, High Frequency Response and fuel equivalency (e.g. battery and conventional generation).	

## Applicable CUSC Objectives:

### **Use of System Charging Methodology**

**(a)** That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;

**(b)** That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);

**(c)** That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses\*;

**(d)** Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc. Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1; and

**(e)** Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

\*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

**Actions:**

Action No	WG meeting raised	Action Owner	Action	Progress	Due Date	Status
5	WG1	All	WG members to provide some wording challenging why if CMP281 was implemented may result in another defect		TBC	Open
6	WG1	All	Consider and provide information on how other parties pay/make up BSUoS?		TBC	Open
7	WG1	CW	Add WG Consultation question for Suppliers on how would impact them and how could the transitional arrangements work		TBC	Open
9	WG2	JA	Update the proposed legal text in light of comments received from WG2 (see blowout notes in WG rpt. and section 3)		TBC	Open
10	WG2	AC	Send link to guidance notes on BM and BMUs etc	<p>Balancing Mechanism and BM Units, as discussed at today's meeting.</p> <p><a href="https://www.elexon.co.uk/operations-settlement/balancing-mechanism-units/">https://www.elexon.co.uk/operations-settlement/balancing-mechanism-units/</a></p> <p>In the Related Content area to the right of the page, the most useful documents are the ones entitled <a href="#">Embedded Generation and Embedded Benefits</a> and <a href="#">BM Unit Guidance</a>.</p> <p>Also the guidance note on <a href="#">Trading Units</a> might prove helpful.</p>	TBC	Open
11	WG2	JA	View from the Proposer on why CMP281 would not be discriminatory		TBC	Open
12	WG2	BR	View from the Proposer on why CMP281 <b>would</b> be discriminatory		TBC	Open
13	WG2	NP	What are the impacts of export and import GSPGs and can CMP281 apply in _N and _P (e.g. fit for all GSPG as a solution noting that will be storage		TBC	Open

Action No	WG meeting raised	Action Owner	Action	Progress	Due Date	Status
			BMUs)			
14	WG2	TBC	Need a diagram on what charges are posed at base level and what impact have – e.g. BEGA and EBs and assets registration?		TBC	Open
15	WG2	UM	What are the system change to allow for billing to know which sites to exclude		TBC	Open
16	WG2	JA	What type of registration process would be needed to determine those units captured under CMP281		TBC	Open