# C16 ABSVD informal consultation - Response Proforma

National Grid invites responses to this consultation by **Friday 15Th December 2017**. The responses to the specific consultation questions (below) or any other aspect of this consultation can be provided by completing the following proforma.

Please return the completed proforma to [balancingservices@nationalgrid.com](mailto:balancingservices@nationalgrid.com)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Respondent: |  |
| Company Name: |  |
| Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify. |  |

| No | Question | Response  (Y/N) | Rationale |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | What are the issues with the provision of collared delivered volumes (by MSID pair, per settlement period) from Balancing Service providers to National Grid? |  |  |
| 2 | Can you provide any examples/evidence of when the proposed process flow may not work? |  |  |
| 3 | Where backing data received from National Grid is used as the basis for allocating delivered volumes to MSID pair: Approximately how long would it take for service providers to undertake this exercise following the receipt of the data? |  |  |
| 4 | Where backing data is not available or not a preferred option, are there any issues with using operational metering data to derive collared delivered volumes? |  |  |
| 5 | What priority should be given to accuracy of information vs. speed of imbalance adjustment? I.e. is basing volume submissions on backing data a priority over reaching an earlier stage of settlement runs? |  |  |
| 6 | What are your views on the Workgroup position that resolving this issue through the installation of separate settlement meters on each Reserve Providing Unit would not be a proportionate option? |  |  |
| 7 | Should information be provided to Suppliers on the ABSVD volumes neutralised within their energy accounts at any greater granularity than a Supplier account level? At what level of granularity should this information be provided? |  |  |
| 8 | Do you believe there are any competition issues associated with the provision of information to Suppliers of ABSVD volumes associated with their energy accounts? How might this be mitigated? |  |  |
| 9 | What system changes would be required for Balancing Services providers to be able to pass this data to the System Operator? What is the approximate cost impact of this for your business? |  |  |
| 10 | Of the April 2019 and April 2020 implementation dates, which do you believe is more appropriate? |  |  |
| 11 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to the ABSVD methodology? |  |  |
| 12 | Do you have any other comments? |  |  |