# CUSC Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP258 ‘Rewording of the legal text to align the CUSC with the intentions of CMP235/6’**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this Code Administrator Consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **5:00pm** on **26th January 2016** to [cusc.team@nationalgrid.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrid.com). Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not be included within the Final Workgroup Report to the Authority.

Any queries on the content of the consultation should be addressed to Jade Clarke at [jade.clarke@nationalgrid.com](mailto:jade.clarke@nationalgrid.com)

These responses will be included within the Draft CUSC Modification Report to the CUSC Panel and within the Final CUSC Modification Report to the Authority.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent:** | *Please insert your name and contact details (phone number or email address)* |
| **Company Name:** | *Please insert Company Name* |
| **Please express your views regarding the Code Administrator Consultation, including rationale.**  **(Please include any issues, suggestions or queries)** | *For reference, the Applicable CUSC objectives are:* The efficient discharge by the Licence of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence.Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity.Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency |

**Code Administrator Consultation questions**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| **1** | **Do you believe that CMP258 better facilitates the Applicable CUSC objectives? Please include your reasoning.** |  |
| **2** | **Do you support the proposed implementation approach? If not, please provide reasoning why.** |  |
| **3** | **Do you have any other comments?** |  |