# CUSC Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP222 – User Commitment for non-generation Users**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **20/01/2014** to cusc.team@nationalgrid.com Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

Any queries on the content of the consultation should be addressed to Wayne Mullins at Wayne.Mullins@nationalgrid.com.

These responses will be considered by the Workgroup at their next meeting at which members will also consider any Workgroup Consultation Alternative Requests. Where appropriate, the Workgroup will record your response and its consideration of it within the final Workgroup Report which is submitted to the CUSC Modifications Panel.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent:** | *Please insert your name and contact details (phone number or email address)* |
| **Company Name:** | *Please insert Company Name* |
| **Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation, including rationale.****(Please include any issues, suggestions or queries)** |  |
| **Do you believe that the proposed original or any of the alternatives better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Objectives? Please include your reasoning.** | *For reference, the Applicable CUSC Objectives for the Use of System Charging Methodology are:**(a) that compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;**(b) that compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and in accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard condition C26 (Requirements of a connect and manage connection);**(c) that, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Do you support the proposed implementation approach? If not, please state why and provide an alternative suggestion where possible.** |  |
| **Do you have any other comments?**  |  |
| **Do you wish to raise a WG Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?**  | *If yes, please complete a WG Consultation Alternative Request form, available on National Grid's website[[1]](#footnote-2), and return to the above email address with your completed Workgroup Consultation response proforma.* |

**Specific questions for CMP222**

| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | The Workgroup asks for views and evidence for alternative security percentages (than 100%, 42%, 20%) to be applied to pre-commissioning Interconnectors. |  |
| 2 | The Workgroup invites views on the perceived risk of post-commissioning Interconnectors and whether they should provide User Commitment. |  |
| 3 | The Workgroup invites views and evidence as to whether post-commissioning Interconnectors, in the event that they are required to provide User Commitment, have a greater ability to forecast market conditions than generators. |  |
| 4 | The Workgroup invites views and evidence as to whether Pumped Storage sites should be treated differently from other generation types, and if so how? |  |

1. <http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/systemcode/amendments/forms_guidance/> [↑](#footnote-ref-2)