

Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers

Transporting Britain's Energy: Ofgem's perspective

Transporting Britain's Energy 2010

8 July 2010

Stephen Smith Senior Partner, Local Grids and RPI-X@20



Overview

- 1. Context: Future supplies Project Discovery
- 2. (R)evolution in networks?: RPI-X@20



Project Discovery: Context



Ofgem's global scenarios

		Economic recovery	
		Rapid	Slow
Ra Environmental action	Rapid	Green Transition	Green Stimulus
	Slow	Dash for Energy	Slow Growth



Our approach

We cannot predict the future...

- Our scenarios are intended to be plausible and internallyconsistent but also diverse
- These are **not forecasts**, but an **exploration** of possible outcomes
- We **assume** that markets respond to price signals
 - so our scenarios do not by themselves tell you if markets can deliver
- We are interested in **resilience** so we need to explore shocks through "stress tests"
- Our scenarios are **not policy choices** but reflect a global context

UNCERTAINTY/RISK ANALYSIS ARE AT THE HEART OF OUR METHODOLOGY

ofgem Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers

GB energy demand, import dependence and capacity margins





GB generation output and carbon dioxide emissions from the generation sector





Key findings from the appraisal

Finding 1: There is a need for unprecedented levels of investment to be sustained over many years in difficult financial conditions, and against a background of increased risk and uncertainty.	Up to £200bn of investment required by 2020
Finding 2: The uncertainty in future carbon prices is likely to delay or deter investment in low carbon technology and lead to greater decarbonisation costs in the future.	Significantly higher emissions or reduced capacity margins
Finding 3: Short term price signals at times of system stress do not fully reflect the value that customers place on supply security, which may mean that the incentives to make additional peak energy supplies available and to invest in peaking capacity are not strong enough.	Greatest risk in scenarios with high gas imports & wind generation
Finding 4: Interdependence with international markets exposes GB to a range of additional risks that may undermine GB security of supply.	Greatest risk in scenarios with highest gas import dependence
Finding 5: The higher cost of gas and electricity may mean that increasing numbers of consumers are not able to afford adequate levels of energy to meet their requirements and that the competitiveness of industry and business is affected.	Consumer bills could rise by up to 50%

COMBINATION OF FACTORS CAUSES CONCERN

ofgem Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers





So what's all that got to do with networks?





Networks face uncertainty about how to deliver...



Big transmission and distribution

Micro-grids



Ofgem's response: RPI-X@20





RPI-X@20

- > RPI-X has been used to regulate GB energy networks for nearly 20 years
- RPI-X@20 is our detailed review of the regulatory framework launched in February 08
- Biggest review since RPI-X was introduced for British Telecom in 1984

Drivers

- > New and emerging challenges
- Good housekeeping
- Simplification

Delivery targets

- > More sustainable energy sector
- Value for money for consumers



Current GB regulatory framework (stylised)

Control on 'revenue' set upfront, including return on asset base	Five-year price control periods, with some mid- period changes	Focus on (operating) cost efficiency incentives	Recently, incentives to meet specific new challenges
--	--	--	---

Reductions in network charges] ot	Emprovements in perating efficiency	More efficient financing		cient ng	Improved quality of	Increased investment
But critics have suggested it has led to:							
Networks focused or 5 year price cycles	٦	Networks focused on Ofgem not their customers		Limited consideration of innovation and 'how best to deliver'			
Potentially limited appetite for risk Limited focus on 'cross-sectoral' interactions							





Why? – The case for change



We are looking to the future to assess whether existing 'RPI-X' frameworks will remain fit for purpose





Emerging Thinking: New regulatory framework

What would remain the same?

Ex ante price control

Building blocks approach Rewards for efficient delivery

Network companies who deliver efficiently will remain financeable







An outcomes-led framework

Focus on delivery of outcomes through six output categories Environmental targets Safety Reliability Social obligations Customer satisfaction Network service connections Output measures in each category determined at price control reviews

The level of each output proposed by network companies

Consistent with engagement and external requirements

- > Ofgem set final outputs reflecting stakeholder engagement
- Rewards for output delivery
- Punishments for non-delivery

Outcome delivery

- > Delivery of outcomes used to measure performance
- Anticipating future needs
- Differential treatment of networks





Incentivising efficient long-term delivery: Length of price control

Partial lengthening:

- > Commit to some elements of price control for longer than others
- > Balance benefits of long term review and regular reviews
- Provide clear financial incentives for longer term thinking
- > Time periods may vary from sector to sector and develop over time

Monitoring of outputs:

- Regular and transparent monitoring of output delivery
- Provide understanding of delivery progress and risks
- > May trigger discussions and detailed assessment of approach to delivery
- Implementation of rewards and penalties

Provisions for re-opening certain aspects of control:

- > For example, used for change in circumstances or changing requirements
- Generally expect companies to manage risks they face and re-openers to be limited





Incentivising efficient long-term delivery: Other measures

Strong incentives for efficiency	 Focus on delivery of outcomes, not business plan Package of balanced incentives to provide lowest cost long term solution Encourage companies to anticipate future needs Interactions between charging and efficiency incentives
Specific innovation stimulus	 > High level of innovation needed in short/medium term > Encourage innovative approaches to challenges > Build on Low Carbon Networks fund developed in DPCR5 > Applicable to all network sectors > Open to non-network parties > Available for all phases of innovation
Differential treatment	 Reflect differences in performance between companies Lower regulatory burden for 'best' performing companies Differences in plan assessment and incentive setting Transparent and credible approach Enhance comparative competitive pressures





Tendering

Only tender projects with certain characteristics

Large: justify transaction costs Technically appropriate	Innovation opportunities e.g. in financing
--	---

> Tenders may be network or Ofgem led

> Look at whole life costs and benefits, and the costs of running a tender

Benefits of tendering certain aspects of delivery

Expose actual	Deliver innovative	Third party	Strengthen network
efficient costs	solutions	involvement	incentives

- > We recognise concerns over the use of tendering
- Clear principles for use of tendering would be developed

> We also intend to explore use of our ability to revoke network licences

> If licence revoked, we may franchise some, or all, operations of a

licensee





New Business Plans

Longer term focus

- > Consulting on which aspects of plan should be lengthened
- What should the time horizon be?
- Companies would need to provide evidence of learning over time

Link between outcomes and costs

Greater onus on benchmarking and efficient procurement

Consideration of multiple options

> Take account of range of delivery options and future scenarios

Evidence of stakeholder engagement

- Effective engagement on options presented in plan
- Evidence of incorporating stakeholder's views





Enhanced engagement

Multi-layered approach

- > Focus on the needs of existing and future consumers
- > Ongoing, effective engagement between networks and consumers
- Networks expected to demonstrate engagement in planning/delivery



Enhanced engagement across a range of parties

Used to inform Ofgem and network decision making





Facilitating competition in energy services

Energy service companies (ESCos) offer broad range of low carbon energy solutions at community level

Ensure the regulatory framework is not a barrier to viable ESCo development

- > New framework will encourage networks to provide fair access terms
- Consider whether obligations on access conditions are sufficient
- Action may be taken if ESCos cannot gain these terms
- > We may force network companies to lease/sell assets such as distribution wires/ pipes at community level





Timetable to RPI-X@20 implementation





Conclusions

- 1. Energy supplies technology and location more uncertain than at any point since privatisation
- 2. Creates huge challenges and opportunities for networks
- 3. (R)evolution in networks necessary: innovation and investment required on a scale greater than any seen before
- 4. Ofgem's response: RPI-X@20 a new way of regulating networks to drive more innovation, smarter and more sustainable energy networks



Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers