UK Future Energy Scenarios

Stakeholder Feedback

nationalgrid

February 2014



Introduction

Welcome to our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios Stakeholder Feedback document. Following the release of our 2013 UK Future Energy Scenarios (UK FES) in July last year, we launched a consultation process to seek the views of our stakeholders, in order to ensure our scenarios are as plausible and credible as possible.

This autumn we continued to develop our engagement, making it both broader, welcoming new stakeholders into the process, and richer, through holding more detailed discussions. This document provides a summary of the feedback we received during our consultation process and outlines how your views will shape our scenarios for 2014.

One of the most consistent themes that emerged from our consultation was the vast level of uncertainty regarding the future of energy in the UK; many of you believed National Grid's 2013 scenarios did not provide a sufficiently broad range of outcomes to capture and reflect this uncertainty. You also expressed a desire for a clearer narrative to support the quantitative data in the UK FES document, and suggested the scenarios should reflect the so called energy 'trilemma' of sustainability, affordability and security of supply.

We have listened to your views and subsequently we are developing four scenarios for 2014, with a varying emphasis on sustainability and affordability. These scenarios will provide a broader envelope of potential energy futures. We will enhance the narrative surrounding the scenarios, in order to be as inclusive, transparent and accessible as possible for our broad range of stakeholders.

I hope you find this document useful and informative, and I look forward to sharing our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios with you.



Richard Smith Head of Energy Strategy and Policy

Summary



Stakeholder Consultation Page 8 - 9

- In July National Grid published its 2013 UK Future Energy Scenarios document.
- Throughout the autumn we sought your views on our scenarios.
- We conducted bilateral meetings with a broad range of our stakeholders and held industry workshops in Glasgow and London.
- Over 75 organisations engaged with our consultation process.
- We will continue to listen to your feedback and develop our engagement process to ensure our consultation is as wide-ranging, open and inclusive as possible and tailored to our stakeholders' needs.



You said...

Page 10 - 15

- We have received a variety of thoughts, opinions and views on our UK Future Energy Scenarios and underlying axioms.
- There are a number of common themes that have come out of this process.
- These will be explored in further detail later in this document, where we have highlighted how these will influence our 2014 process.

For example, as our stakeholders have repeatedly expressed a desire for a broader range of future energy outcomes, we have made the decision to produce 4 scenarios for 2014.



Next Steps

Page 16 - 19

- We will launch our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios document at our annual one day conference in London on Thursday 10 July 2014.
- We will continue to improve our engagement by tailoring our approach to suit each of our stakeholders.
- We will investigate alternative methods of engagement, including social media and online resources, to improve both your understanding of our scenarios and the value of our scenarios to you.
- Our scenarios provide a basis for network analysis to enable the development of our network investment plans. The timeline illustrates the key stages in our UK FES process.



Appendix

Page 19 - 33

Workshop Questions -

 Here we provide charts displaying the aggregated results from responses to the questions asked at our workshops.

Axioms Abacus -

- At this year's workshops we introduced our axioms abacus. The charts show the spread of views on each of our axioms.
- We have used these results to benchmark our 2013 scenarios, highlighting areas of consensus and differing views, with the results helping to shape the development of our 2014 scenarios.

2014 Axioms

• These axioms will underpin our 2014 scenarios.

For further details on the content of our UK Future Energy Scenarios please visit our <u>website</u>¹

Your role in the scenarios...

Your views

Our stakeholder engagement allows us to listen to your views. Your views are vital to our outputs, they drive our processes and inform both our final published scenarios and our consultation process to ensure we continue to improve our engagement and meet your needs.

Future Energy Scenarios

The scenarios are the end result and a vision of the future that you have informed. The publication of the Future Energy Scenarios document marks the start of our annual process and the continuation of our stakeholder consultation, which allows for your views to be heard.

Axioms

An axiom is a premise or starting point of reasoning. The axioms that we produce are a reflection of the stakeholder feedback that we receive throughout our consultation process. These axioms will influence our modelling.

Modelling

Once our axioms have been defined, they underpin our detailed modelling across all energy and hence drive our specific electricity and gas, demand and supply, scenarios.



Why Scenarios?

Why scenarios?

The UK energy sector has the challenge of providing safe, reliable and secure energy as part of a sustainable, decarbonised and affordable future. However, across our industry, there is a great deal of both short and long term uncertainty when talking about the future.



With this in mind, a single forecast of energy demand and supply does not give a sufficiently rich picture of possible future developments. Hence National Grid develops energy scenarios that are sufficiently diverse to encompass a broad range of possible energy futures. Developing four scenarios for 2014 allow us to widen the envelope to address this uncertainty in a way that remains credible and plausible.

Our scenarios are not to be confused with forecasts. Scenarios planning does not predict the future; rather it considers the complete scope of potential drivers that might have an impact. Each scenario is purposefully different; we do not assign probabilities to our scenarios and we do not assume that one scenario is any more likely than another.

Stakeholder Consultation



Our Stakeholder Engagement

We are strongly committed to stakeholder engagement. Throughout 2013 we have listened to and acted on your feedback, and we will continue to engage on UK energy matters, proactively communicating our scenarios.

This document outlines some of the action we have taken and some of the actions we are committed to taking, in direct response to the feedback you have given us.

Our Stakeholder Engagement Principles

Inclusiveness: we will seek to ensure that the views of all interested parties are sought.

Transparency: to raise awareness of the opportunities to engage.

Accessibility: to facilitate discussions at meetings/ workshops.

Targeted: to suit the interest, knowledge and awareness of different groups of stakeholders.

Responsiveness: adopting a flexible process and acting on stakeholder feedback.

Accountability: we listen to what our stakeholders tell us and act on their feedback.

Taking views seriously: we will ensure that all the views expressed are appropriately considered.

Evaluation: we will evaluate our approach to engagement to enable continuous improvement.



Innovative: we will implement new ways of engagement to maximise benefit both to National Grid and our stakeholders.

"The process used by National Grid in constructing the scenarios is impressive and involves wide scale consultation and engagement via a number of workshops held across the country. I think most would agree that National Grid has gone out of its way to be inclusive in the development of its scenarios."

Robert Sansom, Doctoral Researcher Source: <u>http://ukerc.wordpress.com/2013/12/05/minister-read-my-lips-by-robert-sansom-imperial-college/</u>

Our Consultation Process

Following the launch of our 2013 UK Future Energy Scenarios document at our annual conference in July, we held a series of engagement events in order to consult on the scenarios and capture a wide array of responses, thoughts and opinions from stakeholders. Our consultation process included:

- Bilateral (one to one) meetings
- Workshops that enabled a broad spectrum of our stakeholders to debate our scenarios in detail and provide qualitative and quantitative feedback on them.
- Questionnaires covering a wide range of stakeholders (Producers, Importers, Shippers, Storage Operators and Terminal Operators).

2013 UK FES stakeholder engagement statistics

The following statistics summarise our stakeholder engagement on the 2013 UK Future Energy scenarios, excluding bilateral meetings. The 7 stakeholders groups allow us to understand where our stakeholders' interests lie and ensure we are providing relevant information. More information on our 7 stakeholder groups can be found on page 34.

300 delegates



Our other engagement:

Bilateral meetings Videos Questionnaires Online surveys Feedback forms Connecting website Twitter

Continuing the Process

We sought feedback not only on our scenarios, but on our engagement process itself. We will continue to develop our stakeholder engagement process to ensure it is inclusive, transparent, accessible and tailored to our stakeholders' requirements.

⁽¹⁸⁷ organisations at conference & workshops)

You said...

National Grid Scenarios

..."National Grid needs to **tell an engaging story**". "What do the scenarios mean for National Grid and individuals, where are the decision points and what trade offs will have to be made?" Many stakeholders expressed a wish to see a richer storyline for each of our scenarios.

...we should provide more detail behind the **assumptions and outcomes of the scenarios**.

...we should introduce **more Future Energy Scenarios**. According to the voting sessions held at recent stakeholder workshops, our scenarios do not provide a sufficiently broad range of future energy outcomes. Table discussions indicated that there was a preference towards four scenarios.

...National Grid's scenarios need to **capture the wide bandwidth of uncertainty** in the energy industry. Technology uncertainty is very big and government policies could change very rapidly; National Grid need to have enough scenarios to be flexible with this.

...we should explore **scenarios based around the energy 'trilemma'**, of sustainability, affordability and security of supply. This approach was suggested at our London workshops, with the general consensus that it would be appropriate for 2014.

...A slower Slow Progression is necessary. "Slow Progression is still quite green; there's scope for something else." "We've lost one scenario [Accelerated Growth]. People are more pessimistic, so what if we go the other way and have a very slow Slow Progression?"

...National Grid could take a more probabilistic approach with **multiple options** and pathways; it would be useful to rank levels of realism.

...Cost ranking of scenarios would be useful, considering the cost implications for consumer bills and National Grid's network infrastructure.

... More input is needed from academia and a range of stakeholders.

Our thoughts and actions for 2013

From the beginning of our scenario development process for 2014, we have put more emphasis on the narrative surrounding the scenarios. This will enable us to build a solid and engaging qualitative story that will support and enhance our quantitative data and deliver value to our stakeholders.

We are introducing two new scenarios for 2014, in additional to our Slow Progression and Gone Green Scenarios. Based on stakeholder feedback, the energy 'trilemma' will form the foundations of our 2014 UK FES. These scenarios are explored in more detail on the following page.

This new approach to the scenarios provides a wider envelope for possible energy outcomes in order to reflect the current level of uncertainty in the energy industry. As our scenarios are not forecasts, we do not assign a probability to each possible outcome or assume that one scenario is more likely than another.

By flexing affordability, the four scenarios for 2014 will explore with differing levels of financial constraints at both government and consumer level. We will also continue to include capital costs of new generation, and will investigate the potential of costing other elements of our scenarios where reliable underlying costing data is available.

We will continue to analyse our stakeholder engagement and seek feedback from a wide range of stakeholders from the energy industry and beyond, in order to ensure our consultation is as inclusive as possible and our scenarios continue to provide a credible and holistic range of future energy outcomes.

Our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios

Our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios are based on the concept of the so called energy 'trilemma'; balancing the competing needs for sustainability, affordability and security of supply.

Sustainability and affordability will be flexed across the scenarios, with each scenario having a different emphasis on the two variables. Security of supply will remain fixed across all scenarios in line with one of the key objectives of Electricity Market Reform.

The two variables of sustainability and affordability form a 2x2 matrix, on which the scenarios range from the extremes of 'more money available and more emphasis on sustainability' to 'less money available and less emphasis on sustainability'.

The generation mix, the role of gas, and energy demand will vary between scenarios, influenced by factors such as economic recovery and the extent of financial constraints, the introduction of new renewable targets and the effectiveness of consumer engagement.

Low-Carbon Life	Gone Green			
Economic: Growing economy	Economic: Growing economy			
Political: Short term volatility but long term consensus around decarbonisation	Political: European harmonisation, long term certainty			
Technological: Renewable generation at local level, high innovation	Technological: High renewable generation, high innovation			
Social: High uptake of electric vehicles, low energy efficiency, 'going green' not a conscious decision	Social: Society engaged, high energy efficiency, high uptake of electric vehicles and heat pumps			
Environmental: Carbon target likely to be hit, no new targets introduced	Environmental: All targets hit, including new European targets post 2020			
No Progression	Slow Progression			
Economic: Slower economic recovery	Economic: Slower economic recovery			
Political: Inconsistent statements and investor uncertainty	Political: Political will, but financial constraints prevent delivery			
Technological: Gas over renewable generation, low innovation	Technological: Low carbon generation over renewables, low innovation			
Social: Low energy efficiency, low uptake of electric vehicles and heat pumps	Social: Society engaged, high energy efficiency, low uptake of electric vehicles and heat pumps			
Environmental: Targets likely to be missed, no new targets introduced	Environmental: Renewables target missed, new European targets introduced			
Less emphasis Sustainability More emphasis				

You also said...

System operation and balancing

...It is important for National Grid to consider and share information on what the scenarios mean for operating the system.

...We should incorporate storage into the scenarios to a greater extent, giving particular consideration to new types of storage.

Power Supply

...There should be greater focus on decentralised power generation.

...Greater breakdown of power supply data would be useful.

... Further consideration of the **economic factors** influencing generation would enhance the assumptions which underpin the Power Supply scenarios.

Electric vehicles

...National Grid's electric vehicle and heat pump forecasts are generally inline with the views of our stakeholders.

...There is a wide range views on what proportion of electric vehicle owners will move their charging to offpeak periods. During the voting sessions at our recent stakeholder workshops there was some agreement with our position for Gone Green and Slow Progression. However, there was also a spread of views either side of the two scenarios.

Power demand definitions

...It is unclear how definitions and figures for power demand vary between National Grid publications.

District heating

...Stakeholders asked for more detail of our assumptions surrounding the development of district heating within the UK and how this influences demand for gas and electricity.

Our thoughts and actions for 2013

Chapter 5 of our Electricity Ten Year Statement* discusses the impact the scenarios have on system operation. The 2013 ETYS is available at the link in the footnote, and the 2014 ETYS will be published in November 2014.

We will include an evidence based storage case study in our 2014 UK FES document where we will consider how and when storage plays a role in our scenarios.

The move to four UK Future Energy Scenarios for 2014 will allow us to explore a scenario with a higher proportion of decentralised power generation; this will be captured by our Low-Carbon Life scenario, which sees greater investment in renewable generation at a local level.

For 2014, we will provide greater granularity of power supply data, particularly for increases and decreases in capacity for each year we study. We are also considering other factors that can be incorporated within our Power Supply scenario development in order to make the scenarios more valuable to our stakeholders.

During our 2012 consultation process, our stakeholders told us our electric vehicle forecasts were too ambitious. We addressed this feedback in 2013 by seeking independent scrutiny of our electric vehicle analysis from external consultants at TRL (Transport Research Laboratory), and following our recent consultation, stakeholders are now in agreement with our latest figures.

We are developing our 2014 scenarios such that different electric vehicle charging profiles can be used for different user groups and we will continue to develop our scenarios further when more data becomes available from electric vehicle trials in the future.

This year we will provide greater clarity around the definitions used in relation to our power demand scenarios. Where possible, we will use consistent definitions within our UK Future Energy Scenarios document and across other National Grid publications, and where this is not possible, we will explain why.

We are giving further consideration to the role of district heating within our scenarios. At present, 2% of UK heat demand is served by district heating, with the majority targeted towards larger consumers making use of gas-fired combined heat and power, however we will explore where there is further potential.

What will we do with the feedback?

Feedback from our UK Future Energy Scenarios consultation has been gathered from a wide range of stakeholders and is currently being used to inform the development of our 2014 scenarios. Whilst it is not possible to incorporate the views of everyone on every issue, we believe our 2014 scenarios will reflect a greater proportion of our stakeholders' views, will be more balanced and provide a greater range of potential future energy outcomes.

What are the scenarios used for?

Our 2014 scenarios will be used as a reference point for a range of modelling activities including detailed network analysis which enables National Grid to identify potential gas and electricity network investment requirements in the future. The scenarios also underpin a wide range of other supply and demand analyses, and will feed into our business plans. Through this consultation on our future energy scenarios we will be able to ensure that any future plans reflect your views.

How the scenarios are used



TS MAGING L

Next Steps...

Future stakeholder engagement

We will continue to engage with you to ensure your views are included in our work going forward. We will continue to discuss, listen and act on what our stakeholders tell us and focus on improving our engagement. We would like our consultation to be as wide-ranging, open and inclusive as possible and would welcome your views and opinions on our engagement process.

Whilst our formal consultation on the 2013 UK Future Energy Scenarios has come to a close, our engagement with you is a continual process throughout the year. We are open to dialogue with you on an ongoing basis and welcome your continued feedback.

Contact us

We welcome your comments on:

- Our stakeholder consultation process
- How useful you found this document

Please email any thoughts or questions to us via transmission.ukfes@nationalgrid.com

Future of Energy website

Visit National Grid's Future of Energy website for further details of our UK Future Energy Scenarios, and explore the future of energy in the UK and the impact on National Grid's transmission networks: <u>http://www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/industry-information/future-of-energy/future-energy-scenarios/</u>

National Grid Connecting

You can also find articles, bulletins and debates relating to the future of energy on National Grid's <u>Connecting website</u>. Recent publications include 'Searching for a solar solution' and debates on changing consumer behaviour, the future of UK energy demand and the UK's energy mix.

Key milestones going forward

We will be holding our annual one day conference on 10 July 2014 at One Great George Street, Westminster, London, where we will present our new 2014 scenarios and publish the 2014 UK Future Energy Scenario document. An invitation to register will be sent to our UK FES distribution list closer to the event. To be added to the distribution list, please email <u>transmission.ukfes@nationalgrid.com</u>. Material from the conference and the 2014 UK FES document will be published on our website.

The timeline below highlights the key stages in our UK FES process, and illustrates how our stakeholder engagement and development of scenarios interlink with network modelling activities within National Grid.

2013							2014					
July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	July
UK Fo Energ 2013 (18 Ju	u ture gy Scenar UK FES launc ly)	ios h	Stak Wor	eholder kshops 2014 Axio developm 2014 Scen developm	ms ent nario nent	Internal a scope for	pproval of 2014 scen	arios			2014 UK I (10 July)	ES launch
				Sta	keholder k	oilateral m	eetings					
				Stakeho Docume	lder Feedl ent Develo	oack pment	◆ 201 Fee	4 Stakeholo dback docu	der ment publi	shed	******************************	
Mode	l developme	nt and upd	ates		Detailed	2014 scena	ario model	ling				
Elect Netv (elect	ricity & G work modell ricity and gas	as Ten) ing s)		ements	ETYS do	Industry	olished				Net	work- delling nent
					GTYS c	locument p	bublished				devel	opment

The following charts show the responses to questions asked at our three stakeholder workshops. They show the aggregated results for the responses from all of the workshops. The charts also show where our 2013 Gone Green and Slow Progression scenarios sit within the various options for each question, and where applicable (Questions 25 and 26) show where views were the previous year in relation to this year.

We have used these results to benchmark our 2013 scenarios, highlighting areas of agreement and disagreement, with the results also helping to shape the development of our 2014 scenarios. Feedback on this method of engagement has been overwhelmingly positive, and we intend to continue to develop this approach, improving the format and structure of the questions to ensure we and our stakeholders gain maximum value from the process.

Question 1

4



Question 3



Question 2



Question 4



2013 workshops

Question 5



Question 7



Question 9



2013 workshops

Question 6



Question 8



Question 10



2013 workshops

Appendix 1 continued...

Question 11



Question 13



Question 15



Question 12



2013 workshops

Question 14



Question 16



2013 workshops



Question 19



Question 21



Question 18



Question 20



Question 22



Appendix 1 continued...

Question 23



Question 25



Question 24



Question 26



2013 workshops 2012 workshops

Appendix 2: Axioms Abacus

During our workshops in October 2013 we introduced our axioms abacus; 28 sliding scales that represent each of our underlying assumptions, or axioms, based on the concept of an abacus. On each of the axiom scales, a bead was used to identify the position of our 2013 **Gone Green** and **Slow Progression** scenarios.



During the workshops we asked each of our stakeholders to place a marker on each of the 28 scales to represent what they saw as the most credible position for each axiom, using Gone Green and Slow Progression for context. From this, we created charts that displayed the boundaries and clustering of opinions for each of the axioms.

These charts have been used to inform our axioms for 2014, which will in turn form the foundations of our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios, and are shown below. The blue line represents the distribution of stakeholders' responses, with the two coloured bars indicating the position of our 2013 scenarios.



Appendix 2 continued...

2013 Axioms Abacus



Minimal change to axiom range; the timing of when certain energy efficiency levels are reached has changed due to introduction of four scenarios for 2014.

2013 Axioms Abacus



In 2013 there was a single view for Fuel Prices for Slow Prog. and Gone Green. We are introducing a range for 2014 for gas and power prices.

2013 Axioms Abacus



2013 Axioms Abacus **Biomass Generation** * **Nuclear Generation** 25 25 20 Responses 20 Responses 15 15 10 10 5 5 0 deployments post 2020 deployments 0 post 2020 Minimal Significant increase from today Decrease from today Further

* For our power supply scenarios there will be a wider range for 2014, due to the development of four scenarios. These scenarios are not yet finalised, however we will incorporate the views of stakeholders and widen the scope of the axioms where applicable.

2013 Axioms Abacus

Wind Generation



2013 Axioms Abacus







Improved data sources for 2014 resulting in a widening of the range.

2013 Axioms Abacus



No change to axiom for 2014.

Appendix 2 continued...



Range and maximum amount of peak charging has increased for EVs. No change to appliance numbers. The range for internal temperatures will be capped at a 2°C increase from 2012.

2013 Axioms Abacus



No change to rail or electric vehicles for 2014.

Axiom range broadly inline with stakeholder views. No change to the number of heat pumps and resistive electric heating.

2013 Axioms Abacus

Commercial energy efficiency



development

Axiom range remains the same for 2014.

2013 Axioms Abacus



High behavioural inertia

** The Axioms Abacus exercise indicates that the range of axioms for gas supply is broadly inline with stakeholder views. However, we will continue to seek feedback from stakeholders and monitor both UK and International developments in the arena of gas supply.

2013 Axioms Abacus

Energy User Behaviour

2013 Axioms Abacus

25

20 Responses

15

10 5

0

oehavioural inertia Š

Page 28







Appendix 3: 2014 Axioms

The axioms listed below will underpin our 2014 UK Future Energy Scenarios. An axiom is a premise or starting point of reasoning. It is a logical statement assumed to be true. Our 2014 axioms have been developed through stakeholder workshop and bilateral meetings, specifically influenced by the voting results and axioms abacus, which can be seen in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2.

Rules

Levy Control Framework	Spend capped at £7.6 billion as agreed within LCF Trajectory out to 2021
Security of Supply	Abide by security standard as prescribed by Secretary of State (currently three hours loss of load expectation)

Axioms

Number	Title	Low Extreme	High Extreme
1	Renewable Energy/ Carbon Targets	UK 2020 renewables target is missed. Pathway to 2050 falls short of carbon targets and 4th carbon budget. Pressure for UK carbon targets to be abandoned grows.	15% of all energy from Renewable sources by 2020, greenhouse gas emissions meeting the carbon budgets out to 2027, and an 80% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.
2	Government Policy (UK & Europe)	EMR mechanisms delivered late and are ineffective. Policy will hinder investment decisions. Policy fails and is weak and ineffective.	EMR mechanisms in place. Policy will drive investment decisions. No mass government intervention in markets. Policy is strong and effective.
3	Economic Outlook	Low economic growth. Benchmarked against	Moderate economic growth. Benchmarked against
		external forecasts.	external forecasts.
4	Energy Efficiency incl. commercial	Lower drive for energy efficiency.	Higher drive for energy efficiency.
5		Low fuel prices. Benchmarked against external forecasts.	High fuel prices. Benchmarked against external forecasts.
6	Carbon Price	Low carbon price	High carbon price
7	Wind Generation	Limited deployment.	High deployment in line with targets for 2020. Primary renewable source for meeting the renewable targets.

Number	Title	Low Extreme	High Extreme
8	Solar Generation	Low solar PV growth as incentives (hence returns) reduce.	Continued growth in solar PV driven by falling Installation costs.
9	Hydro Generation	Hydro deployment broadly static.	Hydro deployment minimal growth.
10	Wave & Tidal Generation	Minimal deployment by 2030 (demonstration projects only).	Demonstration projects pre-2020. Limited build-up of capacity post-2020 as costs start to fall.
11	Bio-energy Generation	Limited new build due to financing/fuel source restrictions. Existing/ announced projects are completed with some delays. No new dedicated biomass plants. Co-firing is phased out.	Pre-2020 there is stronger development; conversions are favoured over cofiring and dedicated plants. Post-2020, there is modest build of new dedicated plants. Modest deployment of embedded biomass.
12	Nuclear Generation	Further additional Advanced Gas-cooled Reactor (AGR) life extensions. First new nuclear plant delayed to late 2020s with limited deployment thereafter.	Limited additional AGR life extensions. First new nuclear plant slightly delayed to early 2020s. New nuclear deployment increases from late 2020s, as part of a mixed low carbon and renewable generation fleet.
13	CCGTs/OCGTs (unabated)	Existing fleet closes early. Limited new build for gas plant in the near term.	Limited new build in near term. Existing fleet remains on longer than currently anticipated. More aggressive build programme for new fleet.
14	Coal Generation	Advanced closures based on Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) decisions. No new build.	Prolonged operation based on IED decisions. No new build.

Appendix 3 continued

Number	Title	Low Extreme	High Extreme
15	Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS) generation	CCS is not commercially viable for coal or gas.	Commercial deployment of coal/gas CCS occurs during the 2020s as part of a mixed low carbon and renewable generation fleet, with some deployment of biomass with CCS in the later years.
16	Electricity Interconnection (imports/ exports)	Low interconnection capacity driven by market forces.	High interconnection capacity driven by market forces and government policy.
17	CHP	Limited to existing industrial sites. No domestic district heating schemes.	Continued moderate growth in on-site industrial/ commercial CHP deployment. Some district heating projects pre-2020, moderate growth post-2020.
18	Transport (Road & Rail)	Conventional road transport efficiency Improvements continue. Low EV/ plug-in hybrid car uptake rates. Negligible change in HGV/bus fuel sources. Electrification of rail reflecting Network Rail historic trends.	Conventional road transport efficiency improvements continue. Modest EV/ plug-in hybrid car uptake rates pre- 2020 driven by incentives. Uptake rate increases through to 2030 as costs become comparative to conventional vehicles. Incremental growth in transition of HGV/bus fleet to CNG/LNG by 2030. Electrification of Rail reflecting Network Rail aspirations and extending to all passenger miles by 2050.
19	Heat	Some conversion of on gas grid properties. Incremental off gas grid deployment of technology at current rates.	Incentives promote wider uptake of low carbon heating technologies in both on gas and off gas grid properties

Number	Title	Low Extreme	High Extreme
20	Energy User Behaviour	The lack of capability or economic incentive at point of use results in high behavioural inertia and little change to energy usage patterns.	Over time, the increasing ca- pability and economic incentives reduce behavioural inertia and drive demand reduction/shifting.
21	Global Gas Markets	Gas increasingly marginalised in global markets, leading to a weak investment climate for global gas supply projects.	Gas increasingly important in global markets, leading to a strong investment climate for global gas supply projects.
22	Gas Supply (UKCS)	Discoveries are less that initially expected. Technical challenges are high increasing the costs of bringing fields to market. Negative investment climate that limits exploration.	Reserves find is greater than expected. Less technical challenges in recovering reserves. Positive climate for investment driving increased exploration activity.
23	Gas Supply (Norway)	Low Norwegian volumes to the UK due to a combination of lower Norwegian production and/ or higher flows to the Continent.	High Norwegian volumes to the UK due to a combination of higher Norwegian production and/or lower flows to the Continent
24	Gas Supply (LNG)	Low LNG imports to the UK due to a combination of low global LNG production and/ or high demand in global markets.	High LNG imports to the UK due to a combination of high global LNG production and/or low demand in global Markets.
25	Gas Supply (Continent incl. Russian Gas)	Low Continental imports to the UK due to limited access to continental markets and/or limited in- vestment in European Supply projects.	High Continental imports to the UK due to increased access to continental markets and/or significant investment in European Sup- ply projects.
26	UK Shale Gas, Coal Bed Meth- ane (CBM) & Biogas	Limited development of UK onshore resources as investment is targeted elsewhere.	High development of UK on- shore resources due to a positive investment climate.

Engagement in 2013

The list below details many of the organisations that engaged with the 2013 UK Future Energy Scenarios process, participating in events such as the 2013 UK FES launch conference or the stakeholder workshops.

We group our stakeholders to help us to understand where their interest lies and ensure we are providing relevant information. Our 7 stakeholder groups are as follows:

Industry e.g. Transmission Owners, Interconnectors, Energy Networks Association, ENTSO-E Professional Interest Groups e.g. Consultants, Universities, Professional Bodies, Investors Customers e.g. Generators, Distribution Network Operators, Balancing Service provides Government e.g. MPs/MEPs, DECC, DEFRA, Regulators e.g. Ofgem, ACER Social Interest Groups e.g. RSPB, National Trust Consumer Groups e.g. Which?, community groups

20C	Drain Centre	Gas Matters
44 Communications	Drax Power	Gasunie transport services
ABB	E.ON	Gazprom Marketing & Trading
Adjacent Power	E.ON Gas Storage	GDF Suez
Alstom Grid	Eclipse Energy	GE Power & Water
AMEC	Ecofys	Gemserv
Ахро	EDF Energy	GEN Nederland
Baringa	EFET	GL Garrad Hassan
BENTEK ENERGY	EIC	GL Noble Denton
BG Group	Electricity Alliance North	Goldman Sachs
Bord Gáis Networks	Electricity North West	GPS PE Pipe Systems
BP Gas Marketing	Electricity Supply Board	Grant Thornton
Brodies LLP	Elexon	Green Alliance
BTM Group	EMEA Smart Grid Practice	GTC
Bundesnetzagentur	ENA	Harper Energy
Business Juice	Energi Danmark	Haven Power
Centrica	Energised Environments	Heating and Hotwater Industry Council
Centrica Storage	Energy and Utilities Alliance	Highview Power
CG Power Solutions UK	Energy Link	Hogan Lovells
Chemical Industries Association	Energy Savings Trust	Holmwood Consulting
Chevron Gas and Midstream	Energy Technologies Institute	Horizon Nuclear Power
Citi Research	Energy UK	Ian Welch Power Consulting
Citigroup Global Markets	Eni UK	Iberdrola
CNG Services	Enstra Consulting	ICIS
Combined Heat & Power	ENTSOG	Interconnector
Association	ESB	J.P. Morgan
Committee on Climate Change	European Federation of Energy	John Muir Trust
Consumer Futures	Traders	JX Nippon Exploration and
Cornwall Energy	ExxonMobil	Production
Crane Building Services & Utilities	Ferranti Computer Systems	Landsvirkjun
CREDIT SUISSE	first:utility	Laser Energy
DECC	Fluxvs	
DNV GL	Forewind	London Energy Consulting
DONG Energy		Lougnborough University
	Fred. Olsen Renewables	Macquarie

Major Energy Users Council	Shell
Manx Electricity Authority	Siemens
Marathon Oil	SITA UK
McGraw-Hill	Skanska
MITIE	SLR Consulting
MLB Consultancy	Smart Grid Consultancy
Murphy Group	Societe Generale
MWH	South Hook Gas
National Grid Grain LNG	SSE
New Power	SSE Renewbles
Northern Gas Networks	Stag Energy
npower	Statkraft UK
Ofgem	Statoil
Oil & Gas UK	Storengy UK
Oxford Institute for Energy Studies	Sustainability First
PETRONAS Energy Trading	T4 Sustainability
Pinsent Masons	Teesside Gas Processing Plant
Platts	Tethra Energy
Portland Advisers	The Crown Estate
Power Efficiency	The ENDS Report
Pövrv	The National Trust for Scotland
Renewable Energy Association	Thomson Reulers
Renewable Energy Systems Group	Total Gas & Power Tradelink Solutions
Renewable UK	
Royal Haskoning	
RSPB	UK Power Networks
RWE Generation SE	UK Power Reserve
RWE nPower	University of Birmingham
RWE npower Renewables	University of Durham
Scotia Gas Networks	University of Manchester
Scottish Council for Development and	Utilita
Industry	Utility Week
Scottish Development International	Utilyx
Scottish Enterprise	Wales & West Utilities
Scottish Government	Waters Wye Associates
Scottish Hydrogen and Fuel Cell	Western Power
Association	Which?
Scottish Parliament	Wood Mackenzie
Scottish Power	WWF UK
Scottish Environment Protection Agency	Zechstein Energy Storage

National Grid plc National Grid House,

National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No: 4031152

www.nationalgrid.com