

Draft Final Self Governance Modification Report

**CM0100:
Consequential modification following the approval and implementation of CUSC modification – CMP428 User Commitment liabilities for Onshore Transmission (reinforcement) in the Holistic Network Design**

Overview: This modification seeks to align changes made to definitions within the CUSC as a result of the approval and implementation of CUSC modification, CMP428 (User Commitment liabilities for Onshore Transmission (reinforcement) in the Holistic Network Design), to ensure consistency between the codes.

Modification process & timetable



Have 20 minutes? Read the full [Code Administrator Consultation](#)
Have 30 minutes? Read the full [Code Administrator Consultation and Annexes.](#)

Status summary: The Draft Final SG Modification Report has been prepared for the determination vote at Panel.

Panel determination: The Panel will meet on 25 September 2024 to carry out their determination vote.

This modification is expected to have a: Low impact on ESO and Transmission Owners (TO's)

Governance route Self-Governance modification to proceed to Code Administrator Consultation

Who can I talk to about the change?	Proposer: David Halford david.halford@nationalgrideso.com 07812 774065	Code Administrator Contact: Elana Byrne Elana.byrne@nationalgrideso.com 07749 576706
--	---	---

Contents

Contents **2**

What is the issue? **3**

 Why change? 3

What is the solution?..... **3**

 Proposer’s solution 3

 Legal text..... 3

What is the impact of this change? **3**

 Proposer’s assessment against STC Objectives 3

 Proposer’s assessment of the impact of the modification on the stakeholder /
 consumer benefit categories 4

 Panel Determination Vote 4

 Panel conclusion..... 6

When will this change take place? **6**

 Implementation date 6

 Date decision required by 6

 Implementation approach 6

 Proposer’s justification for governance route 6

Interactions..... **6**

Acronyms, key terms and reference material..... **7**

 Reference material 7

Annexes..... **7**

What is the issue?

CUSC modification, CMP428 (User Commitment liabilities for Onshore Transmission (reinforcement) in the Holistic Network Design), was approved by The Authority on the 11 June 2024, and implemented into the CUSC on the 14 June 2024. The modification ensures that User Commitment liabilities for onshore transmission (reinforcement) circuits in the Holistic Network Design (HND), will not be classified as Attributable Works. The modification introduced changes to the definition of “Attributable Works” and the creation of a new definition of “Excepted Works.” The “Attributable Works” definition is also included within the STC and should be aligned with the CUSC. The new definition of “Excepted Works” is not included in the STC and this has created inconsistency across the codes.

Why change?

To ensure consistency between the CUSC and STC, the definition of “Attributable Works” should be updated in the STC and include the new definition of “Excepted Works,” which was introduced as part of CMP428.

What is the solution?

Proposer’s solution

To update the “Attributable Works” definition and add in the new definition of “Excepted Works” within Section J (Interpretations and Definitions) of the STC.

Legal text

The legal text for this change can be found in **Annex 1**

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer’s assessment against STC Objectives	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) efficient discharge of the obligations imposed upon transmission licensees by transmission licences and the Act	Neutral
(b) development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, economical and coordinated system of electricity transmission	Neutral
(c) facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the distribution of electricity	Neutral
(d) protection of the security and quality of supply and safe operation of the national electricity transmission system insofar as it relates to interactions between transmission licensees	Neutral
(e) promotion of good industry practice and efficiency in the implementation and administration of the arrangements described in the STC	Positive Ensures consistency between the CUSC and STC and removes ambiguity in respect of the definitions the proposal is updating.

(f) facilitation of access to the national electricity transmission system for generation not yet connected to the national electricity transmission system or distribution system;	Neutral
(g) compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency.	Neutral

Proposer’s assessment of the impact of the modification on the stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	
Stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	Identified impact
Improved safety and reliability of the system	Neutral
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	Neutral
Benefits for society as a whole	Neutral
Reduced environmental damage	Neutral
Improved quality of service	Neutral

The Code Administrator Consultation was issued on the 5 August 2024 closed on 3 September and received 3 responses. A summary of the response can be found in the table below, and the full responses can be found in **Annex 4**.

Code Administrator Consultation Summary	
Question	
Do you believe that the CM0100 Original Proposal better facilitates the STC Applicable Objectives?	All respondents believed that the Proposal better facilitated objective (e) as it added continuity between CUSC an STC modifications.
Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	All respondents supported the proposed implementation.
Do you have any other comments?	One respondent reiterated that the proposal was raised to ensure consistency between the CUSC and STC codes.

Panel Determination Vote

The Panel will meet on the 25 September 2024 to carry out their determination vote.

They will assess whether a change should be made to the STC by assessing the proposed change and any alternatives against the Applicable Objectives.

Vote 1: Does the Original facilitate the Applicable Objectives better than the Baseline?

Panel Member: **Claire Newton, ESO**

	Better facilitate s AO (a)?	Better facilitate s AO (b)?	Better facilitate s AO (c)?	Better facilitate s AO (d)?	Better facilitate s AO (e)?	Better facilitate s AO (f)?	Better facilitate s AO (g)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original								
Voting Statement								

Panel Member: **Harriet Eckweiler, SHET**

	Better facilitate s AO (a)?	Better facilitate s AO (b)?	Better facilitate s AO (c)?	Better facilitate s AO (d)?	Better facilitate s AO (e)?	Better facilitate s AO (f)?	Better facilitate s AO (g)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original								
Voting Statement								

Panel Member: **Gareth Williams, SPT**

	Better facilitate s AO (a)?	Better facilitate s AO (b)?	Better facilitate s AO (c)?	Better facilitate s AO (d)?	Better facilitate s AO (e)?	Better facilitate s AO (f)?	Better facilitate s AO (g)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original								
Voting Statement								

Panel Member: **Mike Lee, OFTO**

	Better facilitate s AO (a)?	Better facilitate s AO (b)?	Better facilitate s AO (c)?	Better facilitate s AO (d)?	Better facilitate s AO (e)?	Better facilitate s AO (f)?	Better facilitate s AO (g)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original								
Voting Statement								

Panel Member: **Richard Woodward, NGET**

	Better facilitate s AO (a)?	Better facilitate s AO (b)?	Better facilitate s AO (c)?	Better facilitate s AO (d)?	Better facilitate s AO (e)?	Better facilitate s AO (f)?	Better facilitate s AO (g)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original								
Voting Statement								

Vote 2 – Which option best meets the Applicable Objectives?

Panel Member	Best Option	Which objectives does this option better facilitate? (If baseline not applicable).
Claire Newton		
Harriet Eckweiler		
Gareth Williams		
Mike Lee		
Richard Woodward		

Panel conclusion

Panel will meet on 25 September 2024 to carry out their determination vote.

When will this change take place?

Implementation date

31 October 2024

Date decision required by

NA

Implementation approach

There will be no system changes required as a result of this modification

Proposer’s justification for governance route

Governance route: Self-Governance modification to proceed to Code Administrator Consultation

The proposal has been raised to ensure consistency is maintained between the CUSC and STC in respect of the definitions which require amending/introducing into the STC and represent no materiality to Transmission Owners.

Interactions

- Grid Code
- BSC
- CUSC
- SQSS

- European Network Codes
 Other modifications
 Other

This proposal is required due to the approval of CUSC Modification, CMP428 which was implemented into the CUSC on 14 June 2024.

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning
BSC	Balancing and Settlement Code
CM	Code Modification
CMP	Code Modification Proposal
CUSC	Connection and Use of System Code
EBR	Electricity Balancing Regulation
HND	Holistic Network Design
STC	System Operator Transmission Owner Code
STCPs	System Operator Transmission Owner Code Procedures
SQSS	Security and Quality of Supply Standards
T&Cs	Terms and Conditions

Reference material

[CMP428 User Commitment Liabilities for Onshore Transmission Reinforcement in the Holistic Network Design](#)

Annexes

Annex	Information
Annex 1	Legal text
Annex 2	Self-Governance Statement
Annex 3	Proposal Form
Annex 4	Code Administrator Consultation responses