Consultation Response Proforma

## C16 Consultation Appendix A

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm on 30 August 2024**

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact: [balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

## C16 Review: Formal Consultation

Please express your views regarding the consultation updates to the C16 statements in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

| **Procurement Guidelines Statement (PGS)** | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e. version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the removal of the wording relating to Project TERRE on P10?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the updated replacement of references to “Black Start” and the replacement with “Electricity System Restoration” on P15?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the deletion of the reference to “Black Start Capability” on P16?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you agree with the deletion of the wording for Generation Export Management Services (GEMS) on P25?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the updates to the wording for Demand Flexibility Service (DFS) on P26/P27?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you agree with the removal of the reference to GEMS in Table 2 on P37?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you agree with the addition of UKPN to the list of Tri-Party contracts for MW Dispatch on P37?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 9 | Do you agree with the removal of the reference to “Live” events for DFS in Table 2 Balancing Services Information Provision Summary on P44?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 10 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines Statement? Or any additional feedback you would like to submit in relation to the statement?  Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Applicable Balancing Services Volume Data Methodology Statement (ABSVD)** | | |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the ABSVD Statement in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the updates to the BM ABSVD Applied table on P9/P10?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the updates to the wording for Local Constraint Market (LCM) on P13?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the clarification text added relating to P412 on P13?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you agree with the deletion of the wording relating to the application to all sites on P14?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the ABSVD Statement? Or any additional feedback you would like to submit in relation to the statement?  Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Balancing Principles Statement** | | | |
| 1 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Balancing Principles Statement in relation to housekeeping updates, i.e., version control, link updates?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 2 | Do you agree with the removal of the references to GEMS on P26/P27?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the updated replacement of references to “Black Start” and the replacement with “Electricity System Restoration” on P41?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Balancing Principles Statement? Or any additional feedback you would like to submit in relation to the statement?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Balancing Services Adjustment Data (BSAD) Methodology Statement** | | |
| 1 | ESO have not proposed updates to BSAD in this review. Do you have any comments in relation to BSAD? Or any additional feedback you would like to submit in relation to the statement?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **System Management Action Flagging (SMAF) Methodology Statement** | | | |
| 1 | ESO have not proposed updates to SMAF in this review. Do you have any comments in relation to SMAF? Or any additional feedback you would like to submit in relation to the statement?  Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. | |