

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CMP428: User Commitment liabilities for Onshore Transmission circuits in the Holistic Network Design Workgroup Meeting 4 (Workgroup 2 post agreed urgency)

Date: 12/03/2024

Contact Details

Chair: Claire Goult, ESO claire.goult@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Nitin Prajapati, ESO nitin.prajapati@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The aim of Workgroup 4 was to finalise the Workgroup Consultation.

Actions

The Chair displayed the outstanding actions to the Workgroup and the Workgroup agreed to close Actions 5, 6, and 7.

Regarding Action 8, a Workgroup Member queried whether any Users have any fixed liabilities associated with the HND and the Proposer agreed to check.

Draft Workgroup Consultation

The Workgroup ran through comments received from the Workgroup Members offline. Key discussions were:

- Overview Section: the Workgroup discussed at length the wording within the overview section and felt this could be clearer as to what the modification was setting out to achieve. Suggested wording was also provided regarding defining and making clear that the Authority has designated certain circuits within the HND to be onshore transmission (reinforcement).
- Interactions Section: the Workgroup discussed the wording within 'Modification Interactions' and pointed out that the section needed to be more clearer regarding its interaction with modification CMP426 for the reader. The Workgroup agreed to the suggested wording: 'CMP426 & CMP428 relate to the treatment of onshore transmission circuits in the HND. CMP426 relates specifically to charging and CMP428 will address Users' liability. Both proposals will be distinct and separate from one and other'.
- Impacts Section: A Workgroup Member suggested that the Proposer consider the level of risk that will be transferred to the consumer as a result of this modification. The Proposer explained the level of risk transferred to the User will be considered as part of the application of Wider Cancellation Charge and wider works as this will consider how the associated liabilities will be applied and the resulting impact on consumers. The proposer reiterated that the application of the wider Cancellation Charge and wider works is out of scope of this modification.
- Workgroup Considerations Section: a Workgroup Member suggested reducing the wording generally within the Workgroup Considerations Section, believing there to be unnecessary

detail within it. The Chair noted that the wording covered all the points discussed in the Workgroups to date but agreed it generally could be set out differently.

A Workgroup member believed a diagram detailing the action circuits would be useful for the reader. The Proposer responded they had concerns around commercially sensitive data that would be contained within that diagram, and so it was agreed by the Workgroup not to include a diagram for this purpose.

Specific Workgroup Consultation Questions

The Workgroup considered a Workgroup member’s proposed question sent offline and it was agreed to amend it to “Does the solution help provide better cost reflectivity for liabilities”. Further re-wording was also made to “The Proposer detailed the benefits of the solution as follows” section to expand and make it clearer to the reader.

No further Specific Workgroup Consultation Questions were suggested by the Workgroup or the Proposer.

Next Steps

- Workgroup to send any comments made to the Workgroup Consultation asap.
- Chair to update Workgroup Consultation and share with the Workgroup to review by 13 March 2024.
- Workgroup Consultation to be shared with industry on 14 March 2024.

Actions Log

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
2	WG1	Proposer	To determine how the wider cancellation charge would be calculated for the affected offshore Generators, to consider relevant onshore works plus those offshore works that have been classified as wider under CMP428, including whether a specific zone needs to be created for the offshore Generators.	Further information required regarding offshore Generators, and further clarity on wider cancellation charge calculation	WG3	Closed
3	WG2	Proposer	Provide further clarification on the modification and how it works alongside methodologies already in place.	NA	WG3	Closed
4	WG2	Proposer	Provide examples for the Workgroup to go through.	NA	WG3	Closed
5	WG3	Proposer	Consider the defect wording to align to the worked example shown to members		WG4	Closed
6	WG3	Authority	Confirm the timelines the Authority will publish the asset		WG4	Closed

		classification on the HND follow up exercise			
7	WG3	Proposer	Amend the typographical error in the proposed legal text	WG4	Closed
8	WG3	Proposer	Consider if any Users have any fixed liabilities associated with the HND. <i>A Workgroup member fed back to the Proposer to consider if any Users have any fixed liabilities associated with the HND</i>	The Proposer confirmed there are no Users with fixed liabilities associated with the HND.	WG4 Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Claire Goult	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Tammy Meek	TM	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Nitin Prajapati	NP	ESO	Proposer
Alex Curtis	AC	ESO	SME
Calum Duff	CD	Thistle Wind Partners	Workgroup Member
Damian Clough	DC	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
Dennis Gowland	DG	Research Relay Ltd	Workgroup Member
Gareth Williams	GW	ScottishPower Transmission	Observer
Harriet Eckweiler	HE	SSE	Observer
Lizzie Timmins	LT	ESO	Observer
Matthew Paige-Stimson	MPS	NGET	Observer
Neil Geddes	NG	Scottish Power Transmission	Alternate
Ruth Kemsley	RK	EDF	Workgroup Member
Ryan Ward	RW	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member
Sabrina Gao	SGAO	ESO	Observer
Sarah Graham	SG	Ocean Winds	Workgroup Member
Tim Ellingham	TE	REW Renewables	Alternate