

## Minutes

**Meeting name** Charging Delivery Body - Meeting 12

**Time** 1:45pm – 3:00pm

**Date of meeting** 20 June 2019

**Location** Webex

## Attendees

| Name                                  | Initials | Organisation   |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|
| <b>Charging Delivery Body Members</b> |          |  |
| Andy Burgess                          | (AB)     | Chair – Ofgem  |
| Joseph Henry                          | (JH)     | Technical Secretary - National Grid ESO (Lead Secretariat) |
| Angelo Fitzhenry                      | (AF)     | ElectraLink (Code Administrator)                           |
| Jenny Doherty                         | (JD)     | National Grid ESO (Electricity System Operator)            |
| Nigel Bessant                         | (NB)     | Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks                   |
| Nicholas Rubin                        | (NR)     | Elexon (Code Administrator)                                |
| Oliver Day                            | (OD)     | UK Power Networks  |
| Paul McGimpsey                        | (PMcG)   | SP Distribution and SP Manweb                              |
| Rob Marshall                          | (RM)     | National Grid ESO (Code Administrator)                     |
| Tony McEntee                          | (TMcE)   | Electricity North West                                     |
| Simon Yeo                             | (SY)     | Western Power Distribution                                 |
| Lee Wells                             | (LW)     | Northern Powergrid   |
| <b>Ofgem Attendees</b>                |          |  |
| Tim Aldridge                          | (TA)     | Ofgem  |
| Jon Parker                            | (AS)     | Ofgem  |

## Apologies

## 1 Introductions & apologies

1.1 AB introduced the meeting. No apologies received.

## 2 Review of Charging Delivery Body Actions Log

2.1 The Actions log was not reviewed at this meeting. It was agreed that the Actions Log would be agreed at the next meeting.

## 3 Charging Futures Forum Agenda

3.1 JD presented the proposed Charging Futures Forum Agenda ahead of the Forum on 4 July 2019. The proposed agenda can be found [here](#).

3.2 The CDB agreed the Agenda ahead of the Forum, noting the Teach In session to be held prior to the start of the substantive content.

3.3 NR asked whether it would be useful to include an update on BSC Modification P383 as part of the Code Modification update at the Forum, and offered his services to help if needed. RM acknowledged this as advised he would be in touch to discuss.

3.4 Several members offered their services to help present at the Forum. It was decided that NB and TMcE would take the lead on presenting the distribution aspect of the charging teach in, whilst AF and RM would take ownership of the codes update.

## 4 Content for September and December Forum

4.1 JD continued by taking the meeting through the proposed agenda items for future Charging Futures Forums for September and December. It was noted that the subject matter was largely unchanged from when previously presented.

4.2 NB suggested that the issue of curtailment rights should be discussed at the September Forum. JD recognised that this was not a priority at this time but was open to further discussion on this.

4.3 AB suggested that a “TCR Update” should be pencilled in for September’s Forum, as opposed to the currently stated “TCR Conclusions” section. It was also noted that Access should form a key part of any future agenda.

4.4 AB also noted that the proposed date for September’s Forum (19 September 2019) seemed cautious. RM stated that this was partly due to the fact an additional Forum was being held in July. RM also stated that he was happy for the September Forum date to be publicised after the July CFF when timelines were more certain.

4.5 TA noted that there should also be a BSUoS update included in the September Forum.

4.6 JD noted that the content for the December Forum remained subject to change, as the subject matter would be predicated on Industry developments in the interim period. AB stated that he would expect the TCR conclusions would be published by this point, as well as the second Access paper which is on course to be published in late Autumn.

4.7 NR brought up concerns about intermediate demand needing to be discussed at a future Forum. AB commended this observation, but was unclear as to whether this should be included in September’s Forum. JD opined that the whole audience would need to be considered before inclusion of different topics. LW stated that clarification

would be welcomed. It was agreed that this would be discussed again at the July meeting of the CDB.

## 5 Charging Modification Update

**5.1** JH and AF gave updates on the progress of CUSC and DCUSA modifications which were ongoing in the charging arena. JH advised that from a CUSC perspective, CMP280 and CMP281 (modifications concerned with removing TNUoS and BSUoS from storage imports) were nearing being returned to CUSC Panel. There were also positive updates in terms of CMP292, which is expected to return to Panel in June. It was also advised that CMP308 (removal of BSUoS from Generation) had progressed through consultation stage. JH also advised that CMP317 (GenCap modification) was due to begin workgroups in late June.

**5.2** AF advised that on the DCUSA, DCP266 was in need of further legal advice, and the implementation for this modification had been put back until April 2023. DCP313 and DCP314 was with the Authority awaiting decision. It was also noted that DCP328 had progressed to definition phase, but implementation may need to be extended until 2022.

**5.3** TA mentioned that Ofgem were currently focussed on the SCRs, and as such had not been as involved in the ongoing modifications.

NR advised on the same matter, that a BSC modification supporting the storage modifications (P383) had been raised and is currently at working group stage, with a consultation coming imminently. NR suggested that alignment of the storage modifications would have some strategic value, and conversations had been ongoing between the various Code Managers involved.

## 6 BSUoS Process Updates

**6.1** TA advised that the Balancing Services Task Force had now published its final conclusions which are available [here](#). In summary, the final conclusions were that BSUoS should be recovered on a cost recovery basis. TA advised that after the responses were analysed by Ofgem, they would announce what the next steps should be. This could include reforming the Task Force to explore the issues raised further. TA also briefly mentioned CMP308, an ongoing modification that looks to remove BSUoS from Generation and place it in entirety on demand. TA mentioned that the outcomes of the taskforce would need to be looked at alongside CMP308, and also the eventual outcomes of the TCR.

## 7 System Changes for Storage and SCR outcomes

**7.1** AB expressed that if the storage modifications and Access reforms are implemented, system changes would be required. Ofgem are conscious of the impact that this would have on Industry. It was stated that Ofgem are looking to align Access changes with the start of the next Electricity Distribution price control (RIIO-ED2) which starts in April 2023.

**7.2** AB stated that there is a need for discussion in Industry around the exact nature of the system changes that would be required, as it is an important issue, and that it would be important to determine how this could be factored into an overall plan.

**7.3** TMcE opined that tariff production could potentially be an issue. Other members highlighted that there could be impacts to Durabill and other Elexon systems, but

ultimately it was difficult to quantify without knowing exactly what would change as a result of ongoing change in the GB electricity system.

**7.4** NR highlighted the importance of and need for storage parties to be able to identify themselves to Network Companies, and also that the requisite due diligence was needed. Records will need to be kept, potentially causing system changes. The concept of separate storage tariffs for generation was also briefly discussed, but LW stated that he would expect this to need the creation of new data flows. There was agreement with NR's points but also questions around the materiality of the issues raised. NR also highlighted that SVA metered volumes would also need to be deciphered by the ESO.

**7.5** AB stated that it seemed like storage changes would require system change, but it was yet to be ascertained to what extent system changes would be required to deliver access reforms. AB asked whether a meeting should be set up on the subject. Some members agreed that a meeting would be useful, with potentially a link in to TCR work.

## 8 Any Other Business

**8.1** The meeting was closed.