

Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma**CMP392: Transparency and legal certainty as to the calculation of TNUoS in conformance with the Limiting Regulation**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm** on **5 May 2023**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact terri.puddefoot@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Paul Youngman
Company name:	Drax
Email address:	paul.youngman@drax.com
Phone number:	07738 802266

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

☒ Non-Confidential☐ Confidential

Note: A confidential response will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.

For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:

- a. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- b. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- c. *That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;*
- d. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*

- e. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.*

**The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (d) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.*

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that CMP392 Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	<p>Mark the Objectives which you believe the Original solution better facilitates:</p> <p>Original <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>A <input type="checkbox"/>B <input type="checkbox"/>C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>E</p> <p>Yes. We agree with the proposer that this specific proposal as well as increased transparency more generally has a beneficial impact on applicable objective (a) relating to competition and operation of competitive markets. More specifically applicable objective (d) and (e) are also satisfied by the proposal. For (e) publishing the calculation, outcomes and the methodology as it has been applied by the ESO should drive efficiency and consistency in the application of the process. For (d) we also agree that improved transparency should ensure that parties can be certain that the relevant legal judgements and retained law is being discharged appropriately by the ESO.</p>
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>Yes <input type="checkbox"/>No</p> <p>Yes, the implementation approach appears correct.</p>
3	Do you have any other comments?	None at this time.
4	Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?	<p><input type="checkbox"/>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>No</p> <p>We do not wish to raise an alternate at this time.</p>

Specific Workgroup Consultation questions		
5	Do you agree that the proposed methodology and data should be published in full?	Yes. We have no objections at this time to publication of the data discussed as part of CMP392 so long as it applies to all parties. We agree with the proposers compelling argument related to the clear benefits of

		transparency that were identified under the Energy Data Taskforce and how CMP392 supports this.
--	--	---