ESO

SQSS Workgroup Vote

GSR032: Implementation of the Electricity System Restoration Standard

Please note: To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

Voting stages

1) Vote on whether the Original Modification Proposal better facilitates the Applicable SQSS Objectives better than the Baseline (the current version of the SQSS).
2) Vote on which of the options is best.

Terms used in this document

Term	Meaning
Baseline	The current SQSS (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made)
Original	The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification

The Applicable SQSS Objectives:

i) facilitate the planning, development and maintenance of an efficient, coordinated and economical system of electricity transmission, and the operation of that system in an efficient, economic and coordinated manner;
ii) ensure an appropriate level of security and quality of supply and safe operation of the National Electricity Transmission System;
iii) facilitate effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the distribution of electricity; and
iv) facilitate electricity Transmission Licensees to comply with any relevant obligations under EU law.

ESO

Workgroup Vote

Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives

To assess the original compared to the baseline (the current SQSS).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

AO = Applicable Objective

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AO (i)	Better facilitates AO (ii)	Better facilitates AO (iii)	Better facilitates AO (iv)	Overall (Y/N)
	Llewellyn Hoenselaar – ESO				
Original	Yes	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement: This modification (GSR032) will align the SQSS to the proposed changes to the System Operator Transmission Owner Code (being implemented through CM089 and PM0128) and proposed changes to the Grid Code (being implemented through GC0156). This package of changes will aid the implementation of the ESRS by 31 December 2026.					

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AO (i)	Better facilitates AO (ii)	Better facilitates AO (iii)	Better facilitates AO (iv)	Overall (Y/N)
	Hooman Andami – Elmya Energy				
Original	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement:					

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AO (i)	Better facilitates AO (ii)	Better facilitates AO (iii)	Better facilitates AO (iv)	Overall (Y/N)
	Mark Ajal – SSE				
Original	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement: This SQSS modification sets numbers (percentages and durations) that prompt a deep dive review of specifics detailed in Local Joint Restoration Plans and Distribution Restoration Zone Plans. ESO, Ofgem, TNOs and DNOs can now work to the same standard.					

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AO (i)	Better facilitates AO (ii)	Better facilitates AO (iii)	Better facilitates AO (iv)	Overall (Y/N)
	Cornel Brozio - SP Energy Networks				
Original	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Voting Statement:					

Wording is a compromise between doing nothing and detailed requirements that could lead to inefficient investment, to highlight the need to consider restoration in network design. Does not improve security or promote competition under normal system operation.

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AO (i)	Better facilitates AO (ii)	Better facilitates AO (iii)	Better facilitates AO (iv)	Overall (Y/N)
	Lewis Morgan - NGET				
Original	No	No	Neutral	Yes	No

Voting Statement:
The modification provides a high-level vision for transmission networks in respect of system restoration. It does not provide a quantitative criteria or methodology for designing and operating the transmission network, which is the objective of the SQSS.

The proposals are not defined with network attributes and limits to perform any objective design or operational compliance analysis. In absence of such data, the levels of security cannot be assessed, and investment cannot be considered coordinated. The proposal is also focused on the impact of reactive gain whilst omitting other network characteristics associated with ESR.

I agree that this modification highlights a requirement for TO's to aid in facilitating the ESRS compared to the baseline and any interventions may broaden the scope of participation across generation providers. However, the requirements of this modification as written is already underlying within the STC, Grid Code and inherently within Restoration Plans.

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates AO (i)	Better facilitates AO (ii)	Better facilitates AO (iii)	Better facilitates AO (iv)	Overall (Y/N)
	David Lyon - Frontier power				
Original	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement: -					

Stage 2c – Workgroup Vote

Which option is the best? (Baseline or Original proposal).

Workgroup Member	Company	BEST Option?	Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate?
Llewellyn Hoenselaar	ESO	Original	i, ii, iv
Hooman Andami	Elmya Energy	Original	i, ii, iii, iv
Mark Ajal	SSE	Original	i, ii, iii, iv
Cornel Brozio	SP Energy Networks	Original	i,
Lewis Morgan	NGET	Baseline	NA
David Lyon	Frontier power	Original	i, ii, iii, iv