CM089 - Implementation of the Electricity System Restoration Standard

26 May 2023

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME

Expectations of a Workgroup Member

- Contribute to the discussion
- Be respectful of each other's opinions
- Language and Conduct to be consistent with the values of equality and diversity
- Do not share commercially sensitive information
- Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings
- Complete actions in a timely manner
- Keep to agreed scope

Your Roles

- Help refine/develop the solution(s)
- Bring forward alternatives as early as possible
- Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives
- Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives

Workgroup Consultation Responses

Milly Lewis – ESO Code Administrator

Workgroup Consultation Summary

Response Number	Organisation	Q1 - Do you believe that the Original Proposal better facilitate the Applicable Objectives?	Q2 - Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	Q3 - Do you have any other comments?	Q4 - Any alternatives?	Q5 - Do you believe there are any further changes required to the STC based on the proposed changes to the Grid Code as part of GC0156?	Key points
1	Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission plc (SHET)	Yes for a), b), c), d) and g)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Respondent stated that they disagree with the ESO's assessment of applicable objectives e) and f).
							Respondent is unclear what 'Annual Restoration Strategy' is and why only the Cyber Security regulations have been called out
							Respondent requested view of STC-P changes
							Respondent stated that additional sections should be added into the Planning Timescales of the SQSS to define clarity on what level of design the network should have for Restoration.
2	National Grid Electricity Transmission	Yes for a), d) and g)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Respondent stated that the proposal is negative for applicable objective b due to impact to network planning and outage coordination for and across network users.
							Respondent does not believe requirement to asses, design and deliver asset interventions, some of which will require system access is achievable by 2026.
							Respondent believes network interventions / investment should be targeted with cost benefit analysis. Which the proposal doesn't offer this flexibility.

Draft Legal Text

Tony Johnson and Kwaku Nti – ESO

Timeline for CM089 as at 23 March 2023

Activity	Date	
Workgroup 1	31/03/2023	Understand / discuss proposal and solution, note the scope and identify any possible alternative solutions, agree timeline and review terms of reference, agree next steps
Workgroup 2	18/04/2023	Review of legal text: STC-Ps and draft Workgroup Consultation
Workgroup Consultation	25/04/2023 – 18/05/2023	
Workgroup 3	26/05/2023	(Post Workgroup Consultation) – Review / assess Workgroup consultation responses and Workgroup Report.
Workgroup 4	13/06/2023	Finalise solution(s) and legal text, agree that Terms of Reference have been met, Review Workgroup Report and hold Workgroup Vote
Workgroup Report to Panel	20/06/2023	STC Panel held on 28/06/2023
Code Administrator Consultation	03/07/2023- 04/08/2023	
Draft Final Modification Report to Panel	22/08/2023	STC Panel held on 30/08/23
Final Modification Report to Panel to check Votes	04/09/2023 – 11/09/2023	
Final Modification to Ofgem / Appeals Window opened	12/09/2023	
Implementation Date	31/12/2026	

Terms of Reference

Milly Lewis –ESO Code Administrator

ToR for CM089

Workgroup Term of Reference
a) Implementation
b) Review and support the legal text drafting;
c) Ensure the appropriate Industry experts or stakeholders are engaged in the Workgroup to ensure that all potentially affected stakeholders have the opportunity to be represented in the Workgroup
d) The cross Code impacts this Modification has, in particular the CUSC

Any Other Business

Milly Lewis –ESO Code Administrator

Next Steps

Milly Lewis –ESO Code Administrator