

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

CM087: Introducing Connections Process to facilitate Competitively Appointed Transmission Owners – Workgroup Meeting 2

Date: 01/03/2023

Contact Details

Chair: Ruth Roberts, National Grid ESO ruth.roberts@nationalgrideso.com

Proposers: Stephen Baker, National ESO Stephen.Baker@nationalgrideso.com

Gareth Stanley, National ESO gareth.stanley@nationalgrideso.com

Alistair Grey, National ESO alistair.grey@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The Workgroup discussions are summarised according to agenda items:

Workgroup Objectives

The chair introduced the objectives for the Workgroup meeting and explained the aims and outcomes expected.

Proposers update on solution

In sharing the background of CM087 with the Workgroup, the Proposer highlighted the key points from Workgroup 1:

- Do current TO's follow a standard process?
- Could this be applied to a process the CATOs can follow?

The Proposer provided an overview of the 3 options for CATO/TO connections discussed at Workgroup meeting 1. This is outlined below.

1. Early OFTO Model-Party Entry – (STC)TOCO Process – The Proposer highlighted the current Pros and Cons of this option to the Workgroup from Workgroup 1 discussions.
2. Hybrid Model-Two bilateral agreements (no TOCO) – This Option was rejected by the Workgroup members in Workgroup meeting 1.
3. TO-TO (CATO) Planning and Coordination – The Proposer highlighted the Pros and Cons of this option to the Workgroup from Workgroup 1. The Workgroup agreed the existing TO-TO STC process did not contain enough rigor to ensure the CATO-TO

connection could be progressed and delivered on time. The Workgroup agreed, to achieve this, would require a more clear, prescriptive process to STC Section D and STCP 16-1.

The Proposer shared the updated CATO-TO Connections process model with Workgroup members and informed Workgroup members the model had been developed from the FSO point of view.

The Proposer and the Workgroup agree there needs to be a formalised process but expressed concerns that without clear obligations on all parties early in the process it could cause delays.

Upcoming workgroup structure and topics

The Proposer has asked for input from experienced TOs, so the Workgroup is able to understand the current process.

Several Workgroup members agreed that the obligations need to be clear much earlier in the process and considered various options. Discussion is now required around how to balance contractual obligations, Code and how this would work with current obligations.

AOB/Next Steps

The Chair summarised next steps as follows

- The Proposer to circulate the updated process with additional detail and examples to provide context by 3 March.
- Workgroup to give feedback by 17 March

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
3	WG1	WG	WG to suggest any SME's that would be relevant to the Mod	N/A	WG2	Ongoing
5	WG2	Proposer	Send PDF of process to WG for review	N/A	03/03/2023	Open
6	WG2	Workgroup	Provide feedback and comments on the process	N/A	17/03/2023	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Ruth Roberts	RR	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Stephen Baker	SB	National Grid ESO	Proposer
Gareth Stanley	GS	National Grid ESO	Proposer
Alistair Grey	AG	National Grid ESO	Proposer
Alex Aristodemou	AA	National Grid UK Legal	Observer
Claire Goult	CLG	National Grid ESO	Observer
Coreen Campbell	CC	SSEN Transmission	Observer
Darren O'Brien	DOB	NGET	Alternate
Deborah Spencer	DS	National Grid ESO	Observer
Gavin Baillie	GB	SSEN Transmission	Info only
Mark Fitch	MF	TINV	Alternate
Nicola Todd	NT	NGET	Alternate
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Workgroup Member
Thomas Johns	TJ	Ofgem Representative	Observer