

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CMP331 Workgroup 4

Date: 20/01/2023

Contact Details

Chair: Paul Mullen, National Grid ESO (Code Administrator) paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Andy Pace, Energy Potential Andy.Pace@energy-potential.com

Key areas of discussion

CMP331 seeks to provide new generators with the option to replace the generic Annual Load Factors (ALFs) used to determine their TNUoS charges with a site-specific ALF.

This Workgroup reviewed the Workgroup Consultation Responses and agreed next steps, which impact on the current timeline.

Workgroup Consultation Responses

The Chair provided their summary of the 7 non-confidential responses received. In summary:

- **There was mixed support for the change and mixed views on who should be able to make this choice.** The key question here was would retrofit (fundamentally changing characteristics of plant) sites already be included in the current methodology? If not, then extending to include retrofit plant is likely to be a Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification.
 - **Mixed views on whether or not a reconciliation (of Generic or site-specific ALFs to actual ALFs) process is necessary.** Initial Workgroup view was that the value is outweighed by the complexity and the Proposer has no plans to include in their Original proposal.
 - **There were also lots of helpful suggestions on the evidence requirements, which the Workgroup need to consider, but there were challenges that it would**
-

be difficult to define an exhaustive list. Some concerns were expressed as to how the ESO would verify the evidence. Workgroup Consultation responses had identified some suggestions on what could be included and a Workgroup Member agreed to provide a potential draft template for Users to complete (to ensure consistency) when submitting evidence to support having a site specific ALF (**Provided 2 February 2023 and Workgroup will be asked to review**). The ESO Workgroup Member was also asked to consider whether a step in the compliance process could be added for the User to confirm if they are seeking a site specific ALF and also consider publishing a generic ALF calculator . Workgroup were content that the Legal text on this topic would be light touch rather than provide an exhaustive list of acceptable evidence.

- **Call for further analysis on the impacted on existing Users as a result of a party selecting a site specific ALF.** Workgroup agreed that further analysis is required to show how TNUoS charges for existing generators would be impacted by this change. Given current asks on the team, who would need to produce this analysis, the ESO Workgroup Member agreed to check timings and get back to Workgroup and timing of the analysis will set the date for the final Workgroup.

Next Steps

1 more Workgroup to finalise solution(s), Workgroup Report, agree that Terms of Reference have been met and hold Workgroup Vote. Timings depend on when ESO can provide further analysis to show how TNUoS charges for existing generators would be impacted by this change.

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
1	WG4	ESO	Are retrofit (fundamentally changing characteristics of plant) sites already be included in the current methodology?	NA	10/02/2023	Open
2	WG4	ESO	Confirm when ESO can provide further analysis to show how TNUoS charges for existing generators would be impacted by this change.	NA	10/02/2023	Open
3	WG4	ESO	Consider whether a step in the compliance process could be added for the User to confirm if they are seeking a site specific ALF	N/A	10/02/2023	Open
4	WG4	ESO	Consider publishing a generic ALF calculator	N/A	10/02/2023	Open
5	WG4	Chair	Confirm revised timeline	Depends on Action 2	13/02/2023	Open

6	WG4	Workgroup	Review draft template for Users to complete when submitting evidence to support having a site specific ALF	N/A	24/02/2023	Open
---	-----	-----------	--	-----	------------	------
