Consultation Response Proforma

**C16**

**Appendix A**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com by **COP on the 13th February 2023**

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com

If you are using the above hyperlink to respond, please check that the “s” at the end of” balancingservices” populates.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**C16 Questions**

**Please express your views regarding the consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Procurement Guidelines** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates (p.14, 15, 25, 31)? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording to define and update current wording for frequency response products Dynamic Moderation and Dynamic Regulation (p. 15, 21,22)? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestion to change STOR to daily auction from tendered (p.19, 34)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the wording edits proposed for New Reserve Products (p.20-21)? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you agree with the edits and additional wording proposed for Firm Frequency Response to incorporate DC, DM, DR & Static FFR within the Response Section (p.21-22,30)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the new Balancing Reserve product (p.20,34)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of thermal constraints to the type of constraint management services (p23)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the new MW dispatch service to constraint management services (p23, 33)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 9 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the Generation Export Management Scheme (GEMS): (p24, 33)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 10 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the new Local Constraint Management (LCM) service to constraint management services (p.25, 33)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 11 | Do you agree with the proposed changes and addition of wording for ODFM (p25)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 12 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the Demand Flexibility Service (p.26, 42)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 13 | Do you agree with removal of Interconnector response wording due to the fact we are not planning on removing frequency response products for interconnectors in the near future (p27)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 14 | Do you agree with the proposed edits and additions to Table 2 Active Commercial Ancillary services (p33-34)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 15 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for EFR within Table 3 Commercial ancillary services under review (p35)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 16 | Do you agree with the proposed edits and additions to Table 2: Balancing Services Information Provision Summary (p40-42)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 17 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **BPS** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates proposed, for example updating Mvar to MVar, throughout the statement (p1-40)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with proposed wording amendments to Control Phase - Pre gate closure (p24). Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with proposed wording added for New localised constraint management services – Regional Development Program (MW Dispatch and GEMS) (p25). Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the proposed wording added for DM and DR (p27)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording to Regulating Reserve (p28)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to remove the wording in relation to Winter Contingency Services (p39-40)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you find the BPS Report useful, please bear in mind that the information will be presented in the annual report in the future? Do you have any suggestions on improvements? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BPS or the BPS reports? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **BSAD** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed changes in relation to adding MW dispatch and LCM to balancing service adjustment actions (p9)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed changes in relation to removing the wording for Winter Contingency Services? (p13) Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **ABSVD** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the MW Dispatch constraint management service (p8, 13)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for LCM (p8,13)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to remove wording in relation to the Winter Contingency Services (p11)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the ABSVD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **SMAF** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed changes in relation to removal of wording for the Winter Contingency Services (p7, p11)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the SMAF or the SMAF reports? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |