Consultation Response Proforma

**C16 and Relevant Balancing Services (RBS) Guidelines Consultation**

**Appendix A**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com by **COP on the 6th December 2022**

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact balancingservices@nationalgrideso.com

If you are using the above hyperlink to respond, please check that the “s” at the end of” balancingservices” populates.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Does this response contain confidential information? If yes, please specify** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**C16 Questions**

**Please express your views regarding the consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Procurement Guidelines** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates (p.14, 15, 25, 29, 32,)? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to the addition of wording to further define and update current wording for frequency response products Dynamic Moderation and Dynamic Regulation (p. 15, 19, 20, 21)? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestion to change STOR to daily auction from tendered (p.19, 32)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the edits proposed for New Reserve Products (p.20)? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you agree with the edits and additional wording proposed for Firm Frequency Response to incorporate DC, DM, DR & Static FFR within the Response Section (p.20-21,30)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the new Balancing Reserve product (p.19, 31, 38)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of thermal constraints to the type of constraint management services (p.22)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the new MW dispatch service to constraint management services (p.22, 23 31)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 9 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the new Local Constraint Management (LCM) service to constraint management services (p.23, 31)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 10 | Do you agree with removing the wording included for ODFM from the Procurement Guidelines (p.22, 23, 3)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 11 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of ODFM to the list of Reserve services we do not expect to procure this year (p.24)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 12 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the Demand Flexibility Service (p.24, 40)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 13 | Do you agree with the proposed addition and modification of wording to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to the addition of Static Firm Frequency Response (p.38, 30)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 14 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the Procurement Guidelines in relation to the addition of wording to further define and update current wording for frequency response products Dynamic Moderation and Dynamic Regulation (p. 15, 19, 20, 21)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 15 | Do you agree with the proposed edits and additions to Table 2 Active Commercial Ancillary services (p. 30-31)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 16 | Do you agree with the proposed edits and additions to Table 2: Balancing Services Information Provision Summary (38-40)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 17 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the Procurement Guidelines? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **BPS** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates proposed, for example updating Mvar to MVar? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed wording added for DM and DR (p.26)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed wording added for Slow and Quick Reserve (p.28)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to remove the wording in relation to Winter Contingency Services (p.39-40)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you find the BPS Report useful, please bear in mind that the information will be presented in the annual report in the future? Do you have any suggestions on improvements? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BPS or the BPS reports? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **BSAD** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to the BSAD statement in relation to adding Quick and Slow Reserve, MW dispatch and LCM to balancing service adjustment actions (p.9)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to the BSAD statement in relation to removing ODFM? (p13,18,19, 20) Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to the BSAD statement in relation to removing the wording for Winter Contingency Services? (p13) Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the BSAD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **ABSVD** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for Quick and Slow Reserve (p.8, 9, 13)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for the MW Dispatch constraint management service (p.8, 13)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the proposed addition of wording for LCM (p.13)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to the ABSVD statement in relation to removing ODFM (p10,12)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Do you agree with the proposed changes to the ABSVD statement in relation to removal of the Winter Contingency Services (p.11)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the ABSVD? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **SMAF** |
| 1 | Do you agree with the housekeeping updates? Please provide rationale | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the proposed suggestions to the SMAF statement in relation to removal of the Winter Contingency Services (p.7, p.11)? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the SMAF or the SMAF reports? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**RBS Questions**

|  |
| --- |
| **Early Consultation** |
| 1 | Do you agree with proposed updates to RBS in relation to housekeeping and version control? Please provide rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree with the addition of Local Constraint Management (LCM) into the list of Relevant Balancing Services, section 1 (p1)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you agree with the addition of Balancing Reserve (BR) into the list of Relevant Balancing Services, section 1 (p.1)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the addition of Constraint Management Pathfinder into the list of Relevant Balancing Services, section 1 (p.1)? Please provide rationale.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you have any other comments in relation to the changes proposed to the RBS guidelines? Or any additional changes you would like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |