

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting Name: CMP331 Workgroup 1

Date: 22 September 2022

Contact Details

Chair: Sally Musaka, National Grid ESO Sally.Musaka@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Andy Pace, Energy Potential Andy.Pace@energy-potential.com

Key areas of discussion

- The aim of this meeting was to go through the Modification Process, remind parties of their responsibilities and to enable Workgroup Members to re-acquaint themselves with the proposal and understand what has changed since 2019.
- The Chair explained the Modification Process and Workgroup's roles and responsibilities.
- The Proposer explained that CMP331 seeks to provide new generators with the option to replace the generic Annual Load Factors (ALFs) used to determine their TNUoS charges with site-specific ALFs.
- The Proposer set out their updated Solution and shared some analysis showing the impact of a 1% change in ALF on the bill for different generation types for new sites. The Workgroup agreed the importance of further analysis to show:
 - - If Generators exercise this option under this modification and incur lower TNUoS than anticipated, how will this difference in TNUoS be recovered from other transmission connected generation. Real life examples to show the cost reduction within the first three years and how significant the impact will be, compared to the current methodology.
 - Updating the existing analysis so it includes 22/23 min and max values and actual generic values rather than zeros.
 - Analysis to show the wider impact of this modification on other TNUoS parties as well as the impact this modification may have on new entrants and competition.
- The Workgroup also discussed how conflicts between the ESO and connecting parties would be resolved. They questioned whether this was already covered by any of the

existing disputes resolution processes within the CUSC, or if a new process would need to be created.

- The ESO Representative suggested that an alternative solution could be that they look at generic ALF's per region. This could make the generic ALF's more accurate, which would result in more cost reflective charges and solve the same defect. They offered to do a separate case study to investigate this and look at the impact on Generators who sit very far from the generic load factors.
- The ESO representative also questioned whether they needed to agree a criteria/set methodology for the independent assessments so that they were consistent and asked to see some examples so that they can try and understand what kind of process needs to be put in place and whether it needs to go within the CUSC or elsewhere. The Proposer highlighted that these would be confidential, but he would try and redact and extract parts of them to show to the Workgroup.
- The Workgroup reviewed the Terms of Reference (ToR) and agreed that they would need to consider the work that was previously carried out and taken forward in [CMP213 - Project Transmit TNUoS Developments](#). They also felt an additional ToR needed to be added asking them to review and understand the distributional impacts of this modification.

The following actions were noted:

Actions Log

| Action Number | Action | Owner | Due by | Status |
|---------------|--|--------------|-----------------|--------|
| 1 | Provide analysis to show how the difference in TNUoS will be recovered from other transmission connected generation. | Andy Pace | 18 October 2022 | Open |
| 2 | Provide real examples to show the cost reduction within the first three years and how significant the impact will be, compared to the current methodology. | Andy Pace | 18 October 2022 | Open |
| 3 | Check if this is covered by an existing dispute resolution process within the CUSC or if a new process needs to be created. | Rein de Loor | 18 October 2022 | Open |
| 4 | Do a separate case study on generic ALFs by region and look at the impact on | Rein de Loor | 18 October 2022 | Open |

| | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------|------|
| | Generators who sit very far from the generic load factors. | | | |
| 5 | Update analysis to included up to date min/max values (2020/23) and add in actual generic values rather than zeros. | Andy Pace/Rein de Loor | 18 October 2022 | Open |
| 6 | Share examples of redacted version of the independent assessments with the Workgroup. | Andy Pace | 18 October 2022 | Open |
| 7 | Summarise CMP213 in a few slides, so this can be discussed at the next workgroup. | Andy Pace | 18 October 2022 | Open |
| 8 | Check standard ToR and add in additional specific ToR if required. | Sally Musaka/Shazia Akhtar | 18 October 2022 | Open |

Next Steps:

- The next 2 Workgroups will be on **18 October 2022** and **09 November 2022** – the focus of these will be to review and discuss the updated analysis on the following: the modelling approach (for 2020/23), Case study on Generic ALFs by region/impacts on generators far from ALFs, also analysis to show how the difference in TNUoS will be recovered from other transmission generation.

Attendees

| Name | Company | Role |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sally Musaka | Code Administrator National Grid ESO | Chair |
| Shazia Akhtar | Code Administrator National Grid ESO | Technical Secretary |
| Jessica Rivalland | Code Administrator National Grid ESO | Observer |
| Andy Pace | Energy Potential | Proposer |
| Rein de Loor | National Grid ESO | ESO Representative |
| James Stone | National Grid ESO | Previous ESO Representative |
| Sarah Chleboun | National Grid ESO | Observer |
| Andrew Colley | SSE Generation | Workgroup Member Alternate |
| Jean Lewis | Thistle Wind Partners | Workgroup Member |
| Paul Youngman | Drax | Workgroup Member Alternate |

For further information, please contact Sally Musaka