

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma**CMP395: Cap BSUoS costs and Defer payment to 2023/24 to protect GB customers**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm** on **16 September 2022**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen Paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Janet Mason
Company name:	Shell Energy UK Limited
Email address:	janet.mason@shellenergy.co.uk
Phone number:	Click or tap here to enter text.

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

 Non-Confidential Confidential

Note: A confidential response will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.

For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:

- a. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- b. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- c. *That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;*
- d. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*

- e. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.*

***The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (d) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.*

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that the Original Proposal and/or WACM1, WACM2, WACM3, WACM4 and WACM5 better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	Mark the Objectives which you believe each solution better facilitates:
		Original <input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/> E
		WACM1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E
		WACM2 <input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/> E
		WACM3 <input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/> E
		WACM4 <input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/> E
		WACM5 <input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/> E
		Click or tap here to enter text.
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<p>Shell Energy UK Limited (SEUK) supports the proposed implementation approach for CMP395 WACM1. We support this alternative as its proposed BSUoS Price Cap seems the most proportionate to the maximum liability to be carried by National Grid ESO of £250 million.</p> <p>SEUK does not support a reassessment of the BSUoS Price Cap during and therefore we do not support WACM4 and WACM5. SEUK considers that the option to reassess the BSUoS Price Cap during the period October 2022 to March 2023 will create further uncertainty in the market which could then be built into risk premia.</p> <p>SEUK supports a proportionate division of deferred BSUoS costs between Suppliers and Generators.</p>
3	Do you have any other comments?	<p>SEUK considers that a decision on CMP361 ('BSUoS Reform: Introduction of an ex-ante fixed BSUoS tariff') is urgently required. As BSUoS will be removed from Generators' costs on 1st April 2023 (following approval of CMP308), Suppliers, and their customers, need a reliable forecast of fixed BSUoS costs.</p> <p>As a B2B energy Supplier, SEUK would also like to highlight that the recently announced equivalent</p>

		<p>support for businesses and non-domestic energy users to the Energy Price Guarantee by the UK Government is currently set to run for a six-month duration. Therefore, any deferred BSUoS costs, payable from 1st April 2023, will be an extra cost to that sector at a time when the support mechanism has lapsed. We encourage any decision on deferred BSUoS costs to be made with consideration of its impact on all types of electricity consumers.</p>
--	--	--