

# CMP395 Workgroup 4

**8 September 2022**

Online Meeting via Teams

# WELCOME



nationalgridESO

The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are four thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right, creating a sense of movement and direction.

# Objectives and Timeline

**Paul Mullen - National Grid ESO Code Administrator**

# Timeline for CMP395

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Modification presented to Panel	16 August 2022 (9am)	Code Administrator Consultation (3 working days)	13 September 2022 (12pm) to 16 September 2022 (5pm)
Workgroup Nominations (3 working days)	16 August 2022 (12pm) to 19 August 2022 (5pm)	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel	20 September 2022
Ofgem grant Urgency	19 August 2022 (5pm)	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	21 September 2022 (before 12pm)
Workgroup 1 and 2 (assuming Ofgem have granted Urgency) – education, assess proposed cap and limit and identify potential alternatives, review analysis, draft legal text finalise Workgroup Consultation	22 and 24 August 2022	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly	21 September 2022 (2pm to 4pm)
Workgroup Consultation (4 working days)	26 August 2022 (9am) to 1 September 2022 (5pm)	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem	21 September 2022 (4pm)
Workgroups 3 and 4 - Assess Workgroup Consultation Responses, finalise solutions and Workgroup Vote	5 and 8 September 2022	Ofgem decision	By 28 September 2022 (5pm)
Workgroup report issued to Panel	12 September 2022	Implementation Date	30 September 2022
Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	13 September 2022 (Before 10am)		

# Review of Legal Text

All

# Workgroup Alternative Vote

Paul Mullen - National Grid ESO Code Administrator

# Can I vote? and What is the Alternative Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

## Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

- Vote on whether Workgroup Alternative Requests should become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications.
- The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.
- **Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution may better facilitate the CUSC objectives than the Original then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative CUSC modification (WACM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.**

# Finalise Solution(s)

All

The background features several thick, flowing yellow lines that curve and sweep across the slide, creating a sense of movement and energy. These lines are primarily yellow, with some blue lines interspersed, particularly around the word 'All'.

**Agree that Terms of Reference have been addressed**

**All**

# CMP395 – Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report (to be completed at Workgroup Report stage)
a) Consider EBR implications	TBC ahead of Workgroup
b) Consider whether or not a £10/MWh cap on BSUoS is appropriate or justify if another £/MWh cap is more appropriate	TBC ahead of Workgroup
c) Consider if the £10/MWh cap on BSUoS should be in place from 1 October 2022 to 31 March 2023 or a different time period	TBC ahead of Workgroup
d) Consider the impacts on Generators, Suppliers and Consumers of deferring the additional BSUoS costs above the cap to the 2023/24 charging year	TBC ahead of Workgroup
e) Consider the limit on the total BSUoS costs that would be deferred that is feasible and realistic	TBC ahead of Workgroup
f) Consider cost to the ESO of any BSUoS recovery mechanism vs benefit	TBC ahead of Workgroup
g) Consider how costs should be recovered and from whom e.g. should Generators pay for deferred costs?	TBC ahead of Workgroup
h) Consider invoicing / billing timeline in the context of the Implementation Date	TBC ahead of Workgroup

# Workgroup Vote

**Paul Mullen - National Grid ESO Code Administrator**

# Can I vote? and What is the Workgroup Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

## Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

- 2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC)
- 2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

# Next Steps

**Paul Mullen - National Grid ESO Code Administrator**