

CMP363/364

11 May 2022

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME



nationalgridESO

The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are several thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right, creating a sense of movement and direction.

Objectives and Timeline

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP363 and CMP364 Timeline as at 27 April 2022

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Workgroup Nominations (15 working days)	1 March 2021 to 5pm on 22 March 2021	Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	24 September 2021 27 May 2022
Workgroup 1 - Understand proposal and solution, agree timeline, agree terms of reference, agree WG Consultation questions + agree analysis for solution	8 April 2021 1 – 5pm	Code Administrator Consultation (15 Working Days)	27 September 2021 to 5pm on 18 October 2021 6 June 2022 to 5pm on 27 June 2022
Workgroup 2 – Review solution, any analysis and discuss alternatives + agree Workgroup Consultation questions	19 April 2021 10 – 2pm	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel (5 working days)	21 October 2021 21 July 2022
Workgroup 3 – Agree alternatives + finalise Workgroup Consultation	5 May 2021 10 – 2pm	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	29 October 2021 29 July 2022
Workgroup Consultation (15 Working Days)	7 May 2021 to 5pm 28 May 2021	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	2 November 2021 2 August 2022
Workgroup 4 and non-Workgroup meeting - Assess Workgroup Consultation Responses, develop alternatives, review legal text and carry out Alternative Vote	10 June 2021 and 12 July 2021 (11am to 2pm) 12 July 2021 was not quorate	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem	10 November 2021 10 August 2022
Workgroups 5 and 6 – Finalise solution(s), review legal text	12 August 2021 (10am – 1pm) and 6 September 2021	Ofgem decision	TBC
Workgroup 7 and 8 – Review solution (s) and legal text following publication of CMP343 decision, finalise Workgroup Report, confirm that terms of reference have been met and hold Workgroup Vote	6 September 2021 27 April 2022 and 11 May 2022	Implementation Date	1 April 2023 (CMP363) / 10 working days after Authority Decision (CMP364)
Workgroup report issued to Panel (5 working days)	16 September 2021	Date changes highlighted in yellow	

Workgroup Report – Showstopper Comments

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are several thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right, creating a sense of movement and depth.

Terms of Reference

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP363/CMP364 – Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report
a) Consider EBGL implications	Interactions section
b) Consider impact on consumers	Workgroup assessment of Impacts section
c) Consider proposal that Sites with ‘Mixed Demand’ (i.e. combination of Final and Non-Final Demand) would be liable for the residual charges	Consideration of the Proposer’s solution section
d) Consider proposal that Final Demand Sites will be allocated to a Charging Band based on their combined Final and Mixed Demand	Consideration of the Proposer’s solution section
e) Clarify in the methodology that metering can be used to identify and separate ‘pure’ Non-Final Demand volumes from the volumes used for allocating a site to bands (if more than 1 band exists at transmission as per CMP343 WACMs)	Consideration of the Proposer’s solution section
f) Consider practicalities for metering arrangements for the solutions proposed	Consideration of the Proposer’s solution section – predominantly “3) Settlement Metering or Operational Metering”
g) Check whether the Declaration process (created by CMP319 and adapted by CMP334) needs to be enhanced as a result of this proposal and if so how.	Consideration of the Proposer’s solution section - “4) Declarations”
h) Identify any BSC or Grid Code barriers that would prevent metering configurations that the proposal looks to use.	Workgroup Considerations and Consideration of the Proposer’s solution – “1) Clarify the arrangements for “complicated sites”
i) Consider interactions and consistency with related DCUSA change	Proposer’s solution – “Transmission vs Distribution arrangements” and Interactions section
j) Consider any inconsistencies in the current legal text e.g. in the definition of ‘Final Demand Site’ Ofgem believe that “All Users” should be replaced with “For Users”	Annex 6

Workgroup Vote

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP363/CMP364 – Can I vote? and What is the Workgroup Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

- 2a) Assess the original (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC)
- 2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

Next Steps

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator