Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP286 & CMP287:**  Improve TNUoS predictability through increased notice of the Target Revenue (CMP286) and inputs (CMP287) used in the TNUoS Tariff Setting Process

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm** on **9 May 2022**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen [paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**I wish my response to be:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (Please mark the relevant box) | Non-Confidential | Confidential |

*Note: A confidential response will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.*

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:**

1. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
2. *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
3. *That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees’ transmission businesses;*
4. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and*
5. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.*

*\*Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard Workgroup Consultation questions** | | | |
| 1 | Do you believe that CMP286 and CMP287 Original proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives? | Mark the Objectives which you believe the Original solution better facilitates: | |
| Original | A B C D E |
| Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 2 | Do you support the proposed implementation approach? | Yes  No | |
| Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments? | Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| 4 | Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider? | Yes  No | |
| Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| Click or tap here to enter text. | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Specific Workgroup Consultation questions** | | |
| 5 | The Workgroup have concluded that if the CMP286 and CMP287 Original are approved, the risk premia that Suppliers price into contracts will be reduced. Do you agree with this conclusion? Please provide rationale for your response. | Yes  No  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Does the CMP286 and CMP287 Original Proposal or any of the potential alternative solutions impact your business and/or end consumers. If so, how? *Confidential Information can be shared with Ofgem directly* | Yes  No  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | Are there other options which could enable Suppliers to mitigate the issues the proposer is seeking to address via this modification, which could avoid the need for code/licence changes (as applicable)? Please provide rationale for your response. | Yes  No  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | Do you have any additional analysis that supports or counters the benefits of CMP286 and CMP287? Are you content to share this directly with Ofgem? | Yes  No  Click or tap here to enter text. |