

## GC0139 Action Log

| Action Number | Date Action Raised | Owner          | Action  | Comment                               | Due by | Status |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| 5             | 06 May 2020        | All WG Members | Review schedules 5 and 11 off line and confirm:<br>a) If the enhanced data exchange is required/necessary,<br>b) If you are happy with the amendments being proposed/if not what further amendments are required.<br>c) Highlight any points that have not been discussed in previous Workgroups that need to be discussed at the next session. |                                       | WG7    | Open   |
| 22            | 14 October 2020    | IP             | IP to discuss with the distribution code panel the possibly of changing the week 28 submission date to a later date, possibly week 32 and clarify to the workgroup the Panels thoughts on what date they feel would be best and inform the workgroup at the next meeting.   | Linked to action 14 (which is closed) | WG 7   | Open   |
| 22a           | 14 October 2020    | PT             | ESO to consider if week 32 would be a viable option for data submission   | Linked to action 14 (which is closed) | WG 7   | Open   |

|     |                  |    |  |   |              |                         |
|-----|------------------|----|--|---|--------------|-------------------------|
| 22b | 14 October 2020  | MT | Feedback on the DNO request to change the delivery deadline week numbers   | Linked to action 14 (which is closed)   | WG 7         | Open                    |
| 24  | 17 November 2020 |    | <p><b>PT</b> to provide an update on remaining open action. Pick up offline with <b>IP</b>.</p> <p>“Schedule 11: Medium Power Station Data – Confirm whether NG requires detailed data at the application stage for all medium power stations irrespective of the voltage level of the connection to the distribution network.”</p> <p><b>Clarification that this is sub-transmission level only below 132kV</b></p> | Linked to action 5  | WG 6         | Open                    |
| 26  | 17 November 2020 |    | <b>PT</b> to liaise with all <b>TO</b> colleagues to understand the principles of data exchange between NG and the TO's, and the consistency of data across the codes.   | Awaiting outputs Joint Planning Committee Modelling meeting prior progressing action                                  | WG 7         | Open                    |
| 30  | 17 November 2020 |    | <b>RP/MB</b> to query responses with missing figures and press for a revised RFI   | <p>Remain open until Thursday 28 January 2021</p> <p>MB has contacted both parties and this action is now closed.</p> | WG 6         | Propose to close at WG7 |
| 32a | 11 January 2021  |    | MB to convert spreadsheet summary of RFI response to Word document and along with the Financial summary spreadsheet provide via circulation to the workgroup for their approval and comments further to action 32b   |   | COP 15-01-21 | Open                    |
| 32b | 11 January 2021  |    | Workgroup to provide their comments on the data shared by MB by Thursday 28 <sup>th</sup> January so that IP can provide the summaries to Ofgem.   |   | COP 28-01-21 | Open                    |
| 32c | 11 January 2021  |    | IP to provide Ofgem with the financial summaries from the RFI Responses on Friday 29 <sup>th</sup> January pending comments from the workgroup in action 32b   |   | COP 29-01-21 | Open                    |

|     |                 |  |   |              |                  |
|-----|-----------------|--|---|--------------|------------------|
| 33  | 11 January 2021 | AC to circulate a paper developed with ZM to tease out the differences between the technical content of the Week 24 submission and Statement of Works / Project Progression submissions to NGESO | Action completed by AC via circulation by email to the workgroup at 13:15 12 January 2021 | Prior to WG7 | Propose to close |
| 34  | 11 January 2021 | PT to recirculate the draft the Terms of Reference for the 'CIM governance group' to the workgroup   | Action completed by PT via circulation by email to the workgroup at 13:30 12 January 2021 | Prior to WG7 | Propose to close |
| 35a | 11 January 2021 | Workgroup to review updated draft ToR circulated further to action 34; assess suitability and confirm or suggest amendments as soon as possible.   |   | COP 22-01-21 |                  |
| 35b | 11 January 2021 | IP to approach the ENA with the proposed CIM Governance Group terms of reference to establish if they are prepared to lead the CIM governance group.   |   | Prior to WG7 |                  |

## Closed actions

| Action Number | Date Action Raised | Owner   | Action  | Comment   | Due by      | Status            |
|---------------|--------------------|---------|---|---|-------------|-------------------|
| 1             | 06 May 2020        | MB      | Legal Text - Confirm ESO views on whether they should try to amend/manipulate the existing text, or if they should create a new separate section for Network Operators. | Additional section should be added to the planning code.  | WG 2        | Closed in WG2     |
| 2             | 06 May 2020        | PT      | Try and provide a link/information to the European working group that is already looking at TSO-DNO data exchange.  | PT sent an email to the WG on 27th May 27th. GV responded that this had already been covered off in another Grid Code change modification GC0106. | 20 May 2020 | Closed in WG2     |
| 3             | 06 May 2020        | MT & PT | Schedule 11: Medium Power Station Data – Confirm whether NG requires detailed data at the application stage for all medium power stations irrespective of the voltage   | Not required  | WG 6        | Action closed WG6 |

|    |              |                      |  |  |               |                   |
|----|--------------|----------------------|--|--|---------------|-------------------|
|    |              |                      | level of the connection to the distribution network.   |  |               |                   |
| 4  | 06 May 2020  | IP                   | Check if an expected connection date is included in the list of small (less than 1 MW) embedded power stations data.   | If it is a small power station that is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- less than a megawatt then a connection date it is not needed.</li> <li>- Greater than a megawatt, then you will need to provide a forecast connection date in Schedule 11.</li> </ul> | 20 May 2020   | Closed in WG2     |
| 6a | 06 May 2020  | PT                   | Model scenarios to prove/disprove that it is not feasible to do this level of data exchange via spreadsheets.  | PT emailed a sample spreadsheet to the WG on Tuesday 12 <sup>th</sup> May 16:12.   | WG 3          | Closed in WG2     |
| 6b | 01 July 2020 | All WG Members<br>PT | a) Review spreadsheet sent out by PT on the 12/05/20 and provide feedback prior to WG3 offline.<br>Provide wording on the difficulties he faced in trying to produce this spreadsheet.   | Completed on the 07/07/20  | WG 3          | Closed in WG3     |
| 7  | 06 May 2020  | DNO Reps             | Check if it is feasible to assimilate 5 National Grid models into their software via excel spreadsheet data exchange.  | CIM is the right approach<br>Spreadsheets are not viable.  | Ongoing       | Action closed WG6 |
| 8b | 01 July 2020 | IP                   | Send out details of the people who were involved in the Open Network Group working group, so that Workgroup members can contact them if they wish to do so.  | Completed 07-07-20   | Prior to WG 3 | Closed in WG3     |
| 8a | 06 May 2020  | All WG Members       | 1) Read Open Network report – <b>Proposal for Implementation of Electronic Exchange of Network Planning Data.</b> Feedback your views on<br>a) Whether you feel the high-level costs/timescales within the report are reasonable, along with the methodology used to calculate them. | All WG members to send email confirmation prior to WG3 (Tuesday 4 <sup>th</sup> August cut-off) to confirm you are happy with the costs and timescales, raise concerns if not.   | Prior to WG3  | Closed WG5        |

|    |              |                |   |   |             |                |
|----|--------------|----------------|---|---|-------------|----------------|
|    |              |                | The WG can use them as part of a cost benefit analysis to move towards a CIM solution.  |   |             |                |
| 8C | 01 July 2020 | LM             | Feedback the difference between the indicative and actual costs & time scales, for the CIM work that LM has done for WPD.   | Propose to close item at WG5 as this should have been covered in RFI responses.   | WG 3        | Closed WG5     |
| 9  | 06 May 2020  | All WG Members | Feedback views on whether:<br>a) The actual enhanced data exchange is required/necessary,<br>b) You are happy with what is being proposed/or are any amendments needed, if so what are they.                    | Merged into action 5  | WG 2        | Closed in WG2  |
| 10 | 06 May 2020  | National Grid  | Propose a table for WK42  | To deliver action 6a, PT has tried to produce a tale for WK 42. This was emailed to the WG on Tuesday 12 <sup>th</sup> May 2020 at 16:12. | WG 2        | Closed in WG2  |
| 11 | 06 May 2020  | All WG Members | Think about how we justify moving to CIM or another form of electronic data exchange.   | Propose to close item at WG5 as this should have been covered in RFI responses.   | Ongoing     | Closed WG5     |
| 12 | 06 May 2020  | All WG Members | Review all Workgroup 1 documents and circulate any feedback to the Workgroup.   | Replaced by other actions.  | 20 May 2020 | Closed in WG 2 |
| 13 | 06 May 2020  | Code Admin     | Arrange the second Workgroup for 2/6/20 1-4pm   | This had to be cancelled and was re-arranged for the 1/07/20  | 13 May 2020 | Closed in WG 2 |
| 14 | 01 July 2020 | PT & MT        | Frequency of Data Exchange: Confirm the exact data NG wants and when they want to receive it e.g. summer minimum and winter maximum data at different times of the year, rather than all in one go.             | Linked to actions 22, 22a, 22b<br><br>Current data level of network content received is acceptable  | WG 5        | Closed WG6     |
| 15 | 01 July 2020 | MB/IP/PT       | What is the cost of using CIM/not using CIM?<br><br>MB to create a template and then send it to IP/PT for review, before sharing this with the rest of the WG so that it can be completed ahead of Workgroup 3. |   | WG 3        | Closed WG3     |

|    |                  |                |   |   |                           |               |
|----|------------------|----------------|---|---|---------------------------|---------------|
| 16 | 01 July 2020     | IP             | Circulate link to the Energy Data Task Force report.  | Completed 06/08/20<br>Included in the email along with the WG3 papers | Prior to WG 3             | Closed in WG3 |
| 17 | 01 July 2020     | IP             | Document the requirements for the first draft of the Planning code legal text, to allow the ESO and the workgroup to adapt this initial draft.                | MB to draft Legal Text  | WG 3                      | Closed WG3    |
| 18 | 14 October 2020  | MB             | Matt Baller to confirm the governance surrounding the guidance document and ensure no further obligations are put on DNO's.                                   | Email sent by MB - Thursday, 29 October 2020 at 14:55                 | WG 5                      | Closed WG5    |
| 19 | 14 October 2020  | MB             | ESO to send the latest version of the NG guidance note for the statement of works including week 24 submissions.  | Email sent by MB - Thursday, 29 October 2020 at 14:55                 | Circulation prior to WG 5 | Closed in WG5 |
| 20 | 14 October 2020  | PT             | ESO to draft the Terms of Reference for the suggested CIM governance group  | Email sent by PT - Thursday, 22 October 2020 at 10:52                 | Circulation prior to WG 5 | Closed in WG5 |
| 21 | 14 October 2020  | All WG Members | All WG members to understand what would be an acceptable date for their respective companies to commit to for the go live date for CIM enhanced data exchange | This will be discussed Agenda item 3 at WG5                           | WG 5                      | Closed WG6    |
| 23 | 14 October 2020  | AC/ZM          | AC and ZM to define the differences between the week 24 data and statement of works data.   | AC/ZM to resend   | WG 5                      | Closed WG5    |
| 25 | 17 November 2020 |                | <b>IP</b> to circulate the DCode Modification reference to the WG.<br>DCRP/MP/20/04 Working group – This was completed in workgroup 5.                        | Propose to close WG 6   | WG 6                      | Closed WG6    |
| 27 | 17 November 2020 |                | <b>IP</b> to share Ofgem email with the WG.<br>This action was completed by IP on Wednesday, 18 November 2020 at 12:19  |   | WG 6                      | Closed WG5    |

|    |                  |  |   |  |      |   |
|----|------------------|--|---|--|------|---|
| 28 | 17 November 2020 |  | <b>All WG members</b> are to confirm to the <b>ESO</b> that they are comfortable with their RFI responses being shared with Ofgem by Monday 30 <sup>th</sup> November and have received authorisation by their respective companies.                          | Info to be circulated to ofgem by 29 <sup>th</sup> jan (CREATE NEW ACTION) | WG 6 | Closed WG6                                      |
| 29 | 17 November 2020 |  | <b>MB</b> to liaise with ESO on the following points:<br><br>1) Are ESO happy for two systems to operate in parallel?<br><br>What is the Enhanced level of data exchange when CIM has been established?   | Not viable<br>As discussed today at wg6                                    | WG 6 | Closed WG6                                      |
| 31 | 17 November 2020 |  | <b>RP/MB</b> to compile spreadsheet of estimated costs and to share with the workgroup following on from 'action 5 and 7' once all RFI responses have been authorised by their respective companies and financial estimates have been provided by all parties | Propose to close in WG6, MB to email final version to workgroup.           | WG 6 | Closed at WG7 but new action raised (action 32) |

### Possible future actions:

- Consider getting someone with significant IT experience/involvement to join future Workgroup meetings.
- NG/DNO's – work out what resource will be required (for now and in the future) if they do not use CIM.