

Minutes

Meeting name	CUSC Modifications Panel
Meeting number	190
Date of meeting	24 June 2016
Location	National Grid House, Warwick

Attendees

Name	Initials	Position
Mike Toms	MT	Panel Chair
Heena Chauhan	HC	Panel Secretary
John Martin	JM	Code Administrator
Nikki Jamieson	NJ	National Grid Panel Member
Cem Suleyman	CS	Users' Panel Member
Garth Graham	GG	Users' Panel Member
James Anderson	JA	Users' Panel Member
Paul Jones	PJ	Users' Panel Member
Simon Lord (dial-in)	SL	Users' Panel Member
Paul Mott (dial-in)	PM	Users' Panel Member
Bob Brown	BB	Consumers' Panel Member
Abid Sheikh (dial-in)	AS	Authority Representative
Nicholas Rubin	NR	ELEXON
Damian Clough	DC	National Grid (CMP266)
Louise Schmitz (dial-in)	LS	National Grid (CMP264 & CMP265)
Caroline Wright	CW	National Grid (CMP264 & CMP264)

Apologies

Apologies were provided from Kyle Martin who passed his voting rights for CMP255 to GG.

All presentations given at this CUSC Modifications Panel meeting can be found in the CUSC Panel area on the National Grid website:

<http://www2.nationalgrid.com/UK/Industry-information/Electricity-codes/CUSC/Panel-information/>

1 Introductions/Apologies for Absence

5353. Introductions were made around the group. DC from National Grid joined the meeting to discuss CMP266, CW has joined the National Grid Code Administration team and attended the meeting as an Observer and to provide support to LS for the CMP264/CMP265 Workgroup update for which she is the Technical Secretary. LS dialled into the meeting to discuss CMP264/CMP265.

2 Approval of Minutes from the last meeting

5354. The minutes of the last CUSC Panel meeting held on 27 May 2016 were approved subject to changes and are now available on the National Grid website. Comments and changes suggested from BB, PJ, PM, JA, AS and GG were clarified and approved by the Panel.

3 Review of actions

5355. **Minute 5194: AS to clarify the expectations of the Panel on their responsibility on the cross border trade effect and report back to the Panel.** AS and GG circulated information relating to this action w/c 20 June 2016 to the Panel. It was noted that additional discussions would need to take place to confirm the legal requirements following DECC's guidance on Environmental Impact. AS and GG will report back to the Panel once a conclusion has been achieved. The Panel agreed that at this point in time this action would be closed.
5356. **Minute 5194: GG to circulate letter from DECC regarding the cross border affect.** GG circulated the letter and the Panel noted this action is complete.
5357. **Minute 5263: CMP264 and CMP265 Chair to report back at the Panel next month.** LS invited to meeting to provide an update to the Panel. This action is complete.
5358. **Minute 5263: HC to advise MT of CMP264 and CMP265 meeting dates once they have been confirmed.** The next meeting dates for these Workgroups are 4 July 2016 and 11 July 2016. This action is complete.
5359. **Minute 5367: All Panel members to consider additional issues that need to be addressed by the Workgroup to be included within the TOR for CMP264.** HC noted that feedback had been provided by Panel members and that this action is complete.
5360. **Minute 5269 & 5274: To revise timetable to accelerated to enable implementation before December 2016 and align with CMP265.** HC noted the timetable had been revised and that this action is complete.
5361. **Minute 5276: All Panel members to consider additional issues that need to be addressed by the Workgroup to be included with the TOR for CMP265.** HC noted that feedback had been provided by Panel members and that this action is complete.
5362. **Minute 5316: To issue TCMF update to the Panel.** NJ issued this updated and noted that this action is complete.
5363. **Minute 5317: To issue CISG update to the Panel.** NJ issued this updated and noted that this action is complete.
5364. **Minute 5323: To ask National Grid Representative to confirm that the legal text does not contradict the licence for CMP244/CMP256.** HC noted that this action is complete.
5365. **Minute 5333: All Panel members to provide their voting statements to HC by 31 May 2016 for CMP244/CMP256.** All Panel members provided their statements and HC noted that this action is complete.
5366. **Minute 5340: To amend the CMP260 FMR report to reflect Andy Kelsall's responses as representing the view of Dataserve.** HC amended the report and noted that this action is complete.

5367. **Minute 5344: All Panel members to provide their voting statements for CMP260 to HC by 31 May 2016.** All Panel members provided their statements and HC noted that this action is complete.

5368. **Minute 5349: BB would like to discuss the code administrator's workshop on code governance at the June Panel meeting. Add to agenda.** HC added this to the June Panel meeting agenda and noted that this action is complete.

4 New CUSC Modification Proposals

5369. One new modification was presented to the Panel this month.

5370. **CMP266: Removal of Demand TNUoS charging as a barrier to future elective Half Hourly settlement.** This proposal seeks to prevent double charging of TNUoS for a meter electing to be HH settled, all demand within Measurement Class F & G will be charged under the TNUoS NHH methodology from April 2017 up until HH settlement is mandatory for all consumers.

5371. DC presented his proposal slides to the Panel and noted that Ofgem had also indicated their intention that from early 2017 they would like smaller and domestic customers to be able to elect to be HH settled.

5372. CS requested clarification of Class 1 – 4. DC confirmed that the modification would be applicable to demand customers currently in Classes 1 to 4 and if the modification was to be approved by the Authority then it would result in those customers electing for HH settlement to transition to Measurement Class F or G.

5373. GG referred to Ofgem's paper of 27 May 2016 paper where Ofgem highlighted two options (at paragraph 4.9) and its thoughts (at paragraphs 4.11, 4.14, 4.15, 4.27 and 4.28) to this issue and noted that this proposal appeared to be addressing only one of the two options Ofgem highlighted. DC confirmed that the second option could be addressed as part of this proposal and potentially raised by the Workgroup as a WACM.

5374. MT asked AS for Ofgem's view on this approach. AS was able to confirm that their view had been set out in the May paper and that the Workgroup would be better placed to further refine the solution and identify options in their development of the proposal.

5375. NR noted that the CMP266 proposal would rely on (BSC) P339 and asked if the implementation of either modification would impact the delivery of CMP266 or if other consequential changes would be required by ELEXON. NR explained that P339 was about consumption components for active export and was due to be implemented in June 2017. DC confirmed that the implementation date for P339 would not cause any issues for CMP266 as the earliest date by which National Grid would require these changes to be in place by would be June 2018. All other existing systems and process were sufficient at this stage and National Grid did not envisage any additional consequential changes to the BSC as a result of CMP266.

5376. GG noted that Ofgem had recently published information relating to the Smart Meter roll-out and suggested it would be useful if AS could provide this information to the CMP266 Workgroup.

ACTION: AS to provide the official global smart meter roll-out figures to the CMP266 Workgroup.

- 5377. NJ challenged the proposed timetable as it looked very challenging given the volume of industry commitment already in place for code development. BB noted that Ofgem had recently agreed with DECC that the barriers to cost effective elective half hourly settlement will be removed by early 2017 and that the project was a priority
- 5378. MT noted that there was a possibility that there may be delays with the timetable and suggested that National Grid may be in a position where they would need to produce two draft tariffs.
- 5379. The Panel reviewed the timetable for this proposal and agreed that the modification be developed by a Workgroup.
- 5380. When discussing Workgroup membership, GG noted that some parties had already expressed interest in this area with Ofgem and suggested that National Grid engage with these parties when seeking nominations to help develop this proposal.

ACTION: DC to contact Ofgem to ensure that the request for nominations for the CMP266 Workgroup is extended to those that have already engaged with Ofgem on this matter.

5 Workgroups / Standing Groups

- 5381. The latest CUSC Modifications Plan on a Page was shared with the Panel and referred to as the Panel were provided with updates to the current modifications in progress.
- 5382. **CMP249 ‘Clarification of Other Charges (CUSC 14.4) – Charging arrangements for customer requested delay and backfeed’.**
CMP249 aims to include the principles underpinning the ‘CEC before TEC’ policy within Section 14 of the CUSC, which states the methodology for calculation and clarification in which situations this would be applied.
- 5383. NJ noted that having heard the views of the Workgroup members where some Workgroup members felt they would need to abstain from voting and concerns from wider stakeholders it was felt that this modification was unable to meaningfully contribute towards identifying an acceptable solution for CMP249 in its current form.
- 5384. NJ stated that National Grid as the CMP249 Proposer would be formally withdrawing the Modification and advised that National Grid would be proposing to submit a new modification, in due course, with a defect that allows wider development of the solution.
- 5385. The Panel noted the Proposer’s decision to withdraw CMP249 and the Code Administrator will follow due process to allow other parties the right to pick up this modification if they wish.

5386. **CMP250 ‘Stabilising BSUoS with at least a twelve month notice period’**
CMP250 aims to eliminate BSUoS volatility and unpredictability by proposing to fix the value of BSUoS over the course of a season, with a notice period for fixing this value being at least 12 months ahead of the charging season.
5387. HC noted that the next Workgroup meeting was scheduled to take place after the June CUSC Panel. At the last Workgroup meeting, the Workgroup invited one of the respondents to the Workgroup Consultation to discuss their views to better understand how they felt they were able to use the data National Grid provide to respond to signals.
5388. HC noted that the Workgroup were not asking for an extension at this point in time and would continue to develop their options for WACMs at the meeting in the afternoon.
5389. **CMP251 ‘Removing the error margin in the cap on total TNUoS recovered by generation and introducing a new charging element to TNUoS to ensure compliance with European Commission Regulation 838/2010’.**
CMP251 seeks to ensure that there is no risk of non-compliance with European Regulation 838/2010 by removing the error margin introduced by CMP224 and by introducing a new charging element to the calculation of TNUoS.
5390. JM requested that CMP251 move to the Code Administrator Consultation phase of the process and presented the Workgroup findings to the Panel.
- GG noted that there was a difference in the Legal Text compared with the information contained within the report.
- ACTION: JM to ensure that the Workgroup Report is updated to ensure that text in Workgroup Report is aligned to the Legal Text prior to issuing the Code Administration Consultation document to the Industry.**
5391. The Panel noted that although a redraft of the paper had been re-presented as a late paper to the Panel, subject to GG’s comments, they were happy for it to progress to Code Administrator Consultation.
5392. **CMP259 ‘Clarification of decrease in TEC as a Modification’**
CMP259 proposes to enable a User to request both a TEC reduction and a subsequent TEC increase in the form of a single modification application to National Grid.
5393. JM noted that following the last CUSC Panel meeting, National Grid informed the CMP259 Workgroup that they wish to withdraw their ‘charging product’ potential WACM from the CMP259 process. The National Grid representative has advised that they will be carrying out an internal review and will raise a charging modification in the future.
5394. The Workgroup requested a one month extension as they were due to meet shortly and intended to discuss any other Workgroup alternative requests and vote ahead of presenting the Workgroup report back to the Panel in July.
5395. A one month extension was approved by the Panel.

5396. **CMP261 ‘Ensuring the TNUoS paid by Generators in GB in Charging Year 2015/16 is in compliance with the €2.5/MWh annual average limit set in EU Regulation 838/2010 Part B (3)’.**
CMP261 aims to ensure that there is an ex post reconciliation of the TNUoS paid by GB generators during charging year 2015/16 which will take place in Spring 2016 with any amount in excess of the €2.5/MWh upper limit being paid back, via a negative generator residual levied on all GB generators who have paid TNUoS during the period 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 inclusive.
5397. JM noted that the Workgroup is on track and is currently progressing towards the publication of the CMP261 Workgroup Consultation at some point at the start of July.
5398. **CMP262 ‘Removal of SBR/DSBR costs from BSUoS into a ‘Demand Security Charge’.** CMP262 was proposed by VPI Immingham and aims to create a new cost recovery mechanism, a ‘Demand Security Charge’ specifically for recovery of all SBR/DSBR costs, which is only levied on demand side Balancing Mechanism Units (BMUs).
5399. HC noted that the Workgroup Report had originally been planned to be presented at the June Panel. However, the Workgroup have since the Workgroup Consultation met twice and developed potentially another eight options that could be supported and developed into WACMs.
5400. HC requested on behalf of the Workgroup that a Special CUSC Panel be arranged in a fortnight’s time after the Workgroup had had the opportunity to agree WACMs and vote.
5401. The CUSC Panel agreed to hold a Special CUSC Panel on 12 July 2016 at 14:30 by teleconference to review the CMP262 Workgroup Report and agree if it could move to Code Administrator Consultation.
5402. AS noted that concerns had been raised after the Workgroup Consultation for this modification as responses had appeared polarised. GG noted that this was not surprising as opinions could appear divided and were expected to be so at Workgroup level. BB noted that if there was any doubt regarding the analysis presented within the Workgroup Report then independent analysis could also be carried out.
5403. **CMP264 Embedded Generation Triad Avoidance Standstill** – This proposal has been raised by Scottish Power and seeks to change the Transport and Tariff Model and billing arrangements to remove the netting of output from New Embedded Generators until Ofgem has completed its consideration of the current electricity transmission Charging Arrangements (and any review which ensues) and any resulting changes have been fully implemented.
5404. LS joined the meeting to provide a general update to the Panel and noted that progress was being made and that the diverse views of Workgroup members had been heard and would be noted within the Workgroup report.
5405. LS requested the Panel to approve the revised Terms of Reference that were presented to the Panel. LS confirmed that this revision was based on discussions had

within the Workgroup and are reflective of her views of the changes that would be required.

5406. GG was hesitant with the new Terms of Reference (f) and (g) relating to 'cost reflectivity' and suggested that these should focus on the CUSC applicable objectives as to do otherwise could flaw the Workgroup's assessment of the modification. MT concurred with this and LS agreed to amend the Terms of Reference to reflect this.
5407. NR noted that this modification needed to focus more on the wider dependencies required; i.e. technical and governance requirements and assessing the overall benefit it would have for consumers.
5408. LS confirmed that the reference to 'grandfathering' was viewed controversially by the Workgroup.
5409. BB asked what the impact would be on generators and also noted that the Proposal Form for CMP264 refers to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and asked if this should be added to the Term of Reference. BB confirmed that there is a link on the Proposal Form to the Ofgem website which AS was asked to investigate.

ACTION: AS to check the link to the Ofgem website and in particular point 3.3 of the document link and provide feedback to the Workgroup via Panel.

5410. JA noted that the amendments made to the Terms of Reference regarding 'prima facie'.
5411. Overall, the Panel were comfortable with the majority of changes suggested and requested a revised Terms of Reference be reissued to the Workgroup and Panel.

ACTION: HC to send revised Terms of Reference for CM264 to the Panel.

5412. **CMP265 'Gross charging of TNUoS for HH demand where embedded generation is in Capacity Market'**. This proposal has been raised by EDF Energy and specifically seeks to address the issue that half hourly metered (HH) demand for TNUoS purposes is currently charged net of embedded generation.
5413. LS provided a general update to the Panel for CMP265 and noted that progress was being made and that the diverse views of Workgroup members had been heard and would be noted within the Workgroup Report.
5414. NR clarified that from an Elexon perspective that the focus of CMP264 was for new embedded generation and that CMP265 would specifically focus on CM generators in the December 2016 auction. PJ confirmed this understanding.
5415. LS noted that the Workgroup had almost 30 members and was able to confirm to MT that the conduct and behaviour of Workgroup members was reasonable and manageable and that Workgroup members understood the need to work together.
5416. LS noted that the timetable had been reviewed and that additional dates had been agreed as she was concerned about being able to deliver to timetable.

5417. GG thanked the Code Administrator for their support with CMP264 and CMP265. SL agreed that recording the conflicting views on paper would be a challenge and GG noted it would be important to continually agree the content of the Workgroup paper as it was being developed rather than waiting till 'the end' of the Workgroup to start drafting.
5418. Overall, the Panel were comfortable with the majority of changes suggested and requested a revised Terms of Reference be reissued to the Workgroup and Panel.

ACTION: HC to send revised Terms of Reference for CMP265 to the Panel.

5419. **Governance Standing Group (GSG).** GG noted that no meeting had been held since the last CUSC Panel meeting and therefore there was nothing to report. The next GSG meeting date is to be confirmed. GG also highlighted that in light of high workload within the CUSC sphere currently; availability of members was proving difficult.

Transmission Charging Methodologies Forum (TCMF). NJ noted that no meeting had been held since the last Panel meeting and that the TCMF scheduled for July 2016 has been cancelled due to Charging Seminars taking place instead. AS noted that there had been requests at the May TCMF for Ofgem to present CGR3 material at the July TCMF, however GG noted that this may not be relevant at this forum.

Action: NJ to provide Charging seminar update at the July Panel.

CUSC Issues Steering Group (CISG). NJ noted that no meeting had been held since the last Panel meeting and that the CISG scheduled for July 2016 has been cancelled due to Charging Seminars taking place instead.

Commercial Balancing Services Group (CBSG). No meeting had been held since the last CUSC Panel meeting and therefore there was nothing to report. The next CBSG meeting is currently being arranged and is anticipated to take place in July 2016.

Balancing Services Standing Group (BSSG). No meeting had been held since the last CUSC Panel meeting and therefore there was nothing to report. The next BSSG meeting is currently being arranged and is anticipated to take place in July 2016.

6 European Code Development

5420. AS advised that there was no Ofgem European updates to provide to the Panel this month.
5421. **Joint European Stakeholder Group (JESG).** GG confirmed that the JESG had last met on 21 June 2016 and highlighted that TSOG and CACM Regulation had been discussed at this forum. GG noted the result of the Brexit referendum the day before the Panel meeting and pointed out that until the law (such as the Third Package and associated Network Codes etc.) were either repealed or replaced that they would remain the law in GB / UK which therefore necessitated the continuing need for JESG etc., going forward until such time as great certainty on the Brexit arrangements was forthcoming.

7 CUSC Modifications Panel Recommendation Vote

5422. **CMP255 ‘Revised definition of the upper limit of Generation Charges in the charging methodology with removal of the reference to the 27% charging cap’**
 CMP255 aims to remove the requirement for the generation allocation of costs to revert to 27% if the limits to generation charges imposed by European Commission Regulations no longer apply.
5423. JM presented the CMP255 overview slides to the Panel ahead of their vote. JA clarified the difference between the Original and WACM 1 to SL.
5424. Ten responses were received to the Code Administrator Consultation and a variety of views were recorded within the DFMR.
5425. For the avoidance of doubt, the CUSC Panel voted against Use of System Charging Methodology Objectives for CMP255 which are:
- (a) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
 - (b) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 (requirements of a connect and manage connection);
 - (c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees’ transmission businesses; and
 - (d) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency.
5426. **CMP255 Vote 1:** Whether the proposal better facilitates the Applicable Charging Objectives against the CUSC baseline.

Panel Member	Better facilitates ACO (a)	Better facilitates ACO (b)?	Better facilitates ACO (c)?	Better facilitates ACO (d)?	Overall (Y/N)
James Anderson					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
Bob Brown					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes

WACM2	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
Kyle Martin (Garth Graham alternate)					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	No	Neutral
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	No	Neutral	Neutral	No	No
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	No	Yes
Garth Graham					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Abstain				
WACM2	Abstain				
WACM3	Abstain				
Nikki Jamieson					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
Paul Jones					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
Simon Lord					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	No	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	No
WACM3	No	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	No
Cem Suleyman					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
Paul Mott					
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM1	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM2	No	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes
WACM3	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes

5427. **CMP255 - Vote 2:** Which option BEST facilitates achievement of the ACOs? (Including CUSC baseline)

Panel Member	BEST Option?
James Anderson	Original
Bob Brown	WACM1
Kyle Martin	WACM1
Garth Graham	Original

Nikki Jamieson	WACM1
Paul Jones	WACM1
Simon Lord	WACM1
Cem Suleyman	Original
Paul Mott	WACM1

Six Panel members voted for WACM1 and 3 Panel members voted for the Original as best facilitating the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the baseline. Therefore, by majority, the Panel recommend that WACM1 be implemented as it is best overall.

5428. The Final Modification Report will be sent to the Authority for their determination as per the timetable.

ACTION: All Panel members to provide their voting statements for CMP255 to HC by 28 June 2016.

8 Authority Decisions as at 16 June 2016

5429. There have been no Authority decisions since the last meeting. AS noted that there are a number decisions outstanding with Ofgem and that he expected decisions to be issued for CMP237 and CMP 243 week commencing 27 June 2016 with CMP244/CMP256 and CMP260 to follow shortly afterwards in line with the 25 day KPI that Ofgem currently aim to work to.

9 Update on Industry Codes/General Industry updates relevant to the CUSC

5430. GG noted that he was aware of a possible new modification being proposed.
5431. BB highlighted that there had recently been delays to some BSC changes because of issues with BSC and National Grid IT systems. In view of the changes associated with Transmission charges, and a likely future significant workload, he suggested that it would be useful for the Panel to have some comfort that National Grid IT systems could cope.

ACTION: NJ to identify and feedback any issues identified with National Grid IT systems back to the Panel.

5432. JM noted that National Grid had recently experience IT issues which was due to the migration of environments and issues with links. Further tests had been carried out on these IT systems and a full rebuild and retest of the system had been required over a ten day fix period.
5433. NR noted that EBS had been raised at the Grid Code Panel meeting and BSC system assurance has been provided.

10 AOB

5434. JM provided the Panel with an update to the Code Administrator's Workshop on Code Governance.

ACTION: JM to issue a copy of the new modification templates for Panel members to review.

5435. JM noted there will be a consolidated code register which will be published on the Genserve website. JM confirmed to GG that this would be an open access website that would not require a password for access.

5436. JM confirmed that the current KPI's will be amended and aligned to what is provided to the Authority. BB highlighted that it may be an appropriate time for National Grid and Ofgem to address changes required to the Licence and in particular with the applicable objectives suggesting that National Grid may wish to include this within their response to the consultation. AS confirmed that Code Governance Review 3 (CGR3) Licence changes would come into effect on 10 August 2016 subject to any appeals of Ofgem's decision to change licences.

ACTION: JM will look at potential Licence Changes when responding to the Consultation and feedback to Panel.

5437. GG noted that he had shared an email update on the Generation Load & Data Provision Methodology (GLDPM) Implementation Rules with the Panel. Due to the time constraints within the meeting, GG requested the Panel to review his email circulated to the Panel on 15 June 2016 which highlighted that TSOs had published their methodologies for the Common Grid Model Methodology and the GLDPM and that these will now go forward to the national regulatory authorities (such as Ofgem in GB) for collective approval, with a decision expected from them on or before 14th December 2016.

5438. GG noted in his email that in terms of the data provision obligations and trying to understand what the impact will be on generators, suppliers and DNOs this will depend, in particular, on Article (4) (1) of the GLDPM, namely:- *"Each party obliged to provide data under this methodology shall respect the implementation rules set by the responsible TSO"*. Implementation rules meaning:- *"the set of rules describing the methods and data formats to be used by the parties providing data to TSOs under the generation and load data provision methodology as well as any additional instructions from TSOs that are relevant in this respect; to be prepared by TSOs under the applicable national legislation or regulations;"*

5439. GG requested that in light of this publication (by ENTSOE) of the GLDPM could National Grid please provide GB stakeholders with clarification on the following:-

- When will National Grid be preparing these GLDPM implementation rules for GB;
- When will stakeholders be involved in that work;
- When will National Grid be consulting on its proposal to the Authority; and
- Under which applicable national legislation or regulation will the Authority be approving the GB implementation rules?

AS noted that work on mapping the GLDPM implementation rules to GB codes would be starting on 30 June 2016 and stakeholders were encouraged to provide their views to Ofgem through this process.

ACTION: NJ to provide an NGET response to the questions raised by GG on the GLDPM Implementation Rules.

5440. The Panel discussed the general volume of work ongoing within the industry and the impact this had on resource requirements. NJ noted that some of this may be eased once some of this work is absorbed into the Charging Review. MT asked AS if Ofgem had assessed this situation and if they had a view on this issue. AS agreed to get Ofgem's view on this matter and report back to the Panel.

ACTION: AS to discuss the current strain on industry resources with Ofgem colleagues and provide feedback to the Panel.

5441. GG noted that an SCR may be one option although this will only take effect once it was announced that an SCR is launched.

5442. NJ noted that she is writing to Ofgem with a draft Charging Review Seminars agenda to gain feedback. NJ will also be able to share this with the Panel and would also appreciate their feedback.

ACTION: NJ to share Charging Review Seminar agenda and Panel members to provide NJ with feedback.

11 Next meeting

5443. The next meeting of the CUSC Modifications Panel will be held on 29 July 2016.