

# CMP235/236 ‘Introduction of a new Relevant Interruption Type’ and ‘Clarification of when Disconnection Compensation payments can be expected under a Relevant Interruption’



CUSC Panel – 29<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Jade Clarke – Code Administrator

# Background

---

## CMP235

- The CUSC currently provides the ability for generators to claim in the event of an issue on the NETS caused solely by the TO's plant or apparatus, which disconnects the generating unit from the NETS.
- The SO can issue instructions to generator to prevent damage of injury to persons, equipment or the NETS.
- CUSC is silent on situations where the SO operates the NETS outside of licence conditions.

# Background

---

## CMP236

- Under a Relevant Interruption, the SO may solely disconnect BMU's from the NETS. In most cases the SO would disconnect the generating unit export BMU; although there have been instances where the SO has disconnected the import BMU (station supplies) which could cause the generating unit to lose their output.
- The calculation of Relevant Interruption takes into account 'affected BMUs' being the export BMU. In this instance as the export BMU is still connected, the payment can be £zero.

## Proposed CUSC Modification

---

- Proposed by EDF Energy in September 2014.
- CMP235 seeks to amend the description of an interruption to add a type of Emergency Deenergisation (when a User has had to Emergency Deenergise as a result of the condition or manner of Transmission System operating outside of the Licensee's statutory requirements) as a Relevant Interruption.
- CMP236 seeks to clarify that where station supplies are disconnected solely by National Grid plant or apparatus and the effect of this is to lose the generating units' output, this is a Relevant Interruption and that under the CUSC, Interruption payments can include these situations.

## Amalgamation

---

- CMP235 and CMP236 were proposed separately by EDF Energy and submitted to the CUSC Modifications Panel for their consideration on 26<sup>th</sup> September 2014.
- The Panel decided to amalgamate these Proposals (ensuring that there would automatically be two Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications included within the Final Modification Report which gives the option to implement these two Modifications separately) and sent the Proposal to a Workgroup.

## Workgroup Consultation

---

- 6 responses received
- Majority supportive of the Proposal and proposed implementation approach.

## Workgroup Vote

---

- 5 Workgroup Members voted
- 5 WACMs proposed: WACM1 and WACM2 to progress CMP235 and CMP236 separately. WACM3, WACM4 and WACM5 are based on one alternative applied to the Original (amalgamated Modification), WACM1 (CMP235 and WACM2 (CMP236)
- The Workgroup voted by majority 3/5 that WACM4 (which is the alternative to CMP235 alone) is the best solution and therefore should be implemented.

## Code Administrator Consultation

---

- 6 responses received
- All respondents supportive of at least one of the proposed solutions and were also supportive of the implementation approach.
- Mixed view on what would be the best option



## National Grid's view

---

- CMP235/236 WACM4 best facilitates the applicable CUSC Objectives (a) by making the claims process clearer and more efficient and (b) by ensuring interruption payments are made for the right reasons thus benefitting all Users of the System who pay TNUoS.

## Questions before Panel Vote?



## Panel Recommendation Vote

---

- a) The efficient discharge by the licensee of the obligations imposed upon it under the Act and by the Transmission Licence;
- b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency.

## Proposed Timetable

---

<b>29 May 2015</b>	<b>Panel vote</b>
<b>1 June 2015</b>	<b>Issue FMR for final comment</b>
<b>8 June 2015</b>	<b>Deadline for comments</b>
<b>11 June 2015</b>	<b>Final Report sent to Authority for decision</b>
<b>16 July 2015</b>	<b>Indicative 25 day KPI for decision</b>
<b>30 July 2015</b>	<b>CMP235/236 Implemented (if approved)</b>