

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## Meeting name: CMP368 & CMP369 Workgroup 5

Date: 9 July 2021

### Contact Details

Chair: Jennifer Groome

Contact details: [Jennifer.Groome@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:Jennifer.Groome@nationalgrideso.com)

Proposer: James Stone

Contact details: [James.Stone@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:James.Stone@nationalgrideso.com)

### Key areas of discussion

- The focus of the meeting was for the Workgroup to consider the ten non-confidential responses which were received in the Workgroup Consultation (which was held between 11 June and 2 July 2021), and alternative requests.
- Eight alternative requests (four for each modification) were received in advance of the meeting. A summary of the differences between the baseline, original and alternative requests is below:

Solution variants	Baseline	Original	Alternative 1 (CMP368 & 369)	Alternative 2 (CMP368 & 369)	Alternative 3 (CMP368 & 369)	Alternative 4 (CMP368 & 369)
TNUoS or Transmission charges	TNUoS	TNUoS	TNUoS	Transmission charges	Transmission charges	Transmission charges
Volumes & charges or volumes only (for LDG/embedded generators)	Included: LDG Volumes and charges	Excluded: LDG Volumes and charges	Included: Embedded generator Volumes and charges	Excluded: LDG Volumes and charges	Excluded: LDG volumes only	Excluded: LDG volumes only
Station Demand in the calc	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Include	Exclude	Include

\*Alternatives 2, 3 & 4 - LDG subject to potential change.

- The proposer of Alternative 1 shared in the meeting that they have since decided to amend their solution to include all embedded generator volumes and charges in the compliance calculation, rather than just the volumes and charges of Large Distributed Generators. The proposer of Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 is considering making this change also, in advance of the Alternative Vote.
- Three actions were taken in the meeting which are summarised below (actions 31, 32 and 33).

## Open Actions

Action Number	Workgroup raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
11	Post WG1	JT/GG	Examples of where the CMA decision provides a legal interpretation of the ITC Regulation which is different from the interpretation being used by Ofgem.		WG2	Open
16	WG2	HH	Consider how Agreements to Vary impact the definition of pre-existing system ahead of further discussion by the workgroup		WG3	Open
31	WG5	JG	Request clarification from Ofgem: If an asset changes hands between physical producers, should this trigger a reclassification of assets?	Email sent on 9 July 2021 – Workgroup copied in.	12/07 /2021	Open
32	WG5	JZ	Explore publication of the compliance calculation workings in the charging statement	ESO must publish draft statement to Ofgem in February. Will be a matter of timing.	12/07 /2021	Open
33	WG5	JS	Check the period that is being measured in the compliance calculation		12/07 /2021	Open

## Next steps

- The Workgroup will meet at 2pm on Monday **12 July 2021** to hold their Alternative Vote.
- On Wednesday **14 July 2021** the draft Workgroup Report and legal text will be shared with the Workgroup to review.
- On Friday **16 July 2021** a meeting is scheduled for the Workgroup to review the report, legal text and to hold the Workgroup Vote.
- The Workgroup will then have between the **19 and 21 July 2021** to do final checks on the report and to check their vote has been accurately captured.
- The Workgroup Report will be submitted to the CUSC Panel on **22 July 2021**.

For further information, please contact Jennifer Groome.