

CMP222 User Commitment for Non-Generation Users



Adam Sims

27th Sep 2013

Background

- Generation user commitment for pre- and post-commissioning sites was introduced into the CUSC in April 2012 for April 2013 go-live
- Need to introduce enduring user commitment arrangements for interconnector and demand users by April 2015
- After this date, original Final Sums would apply
 - User would secure wider works as well as local

Approach for CMP222

- Working principle that arrangements should broadly reflect CUSC Section 15 (CMP192)
 - Concepts agreed by Ofgem and industry
- Different treatment will be included where it can be demonstrated as being justified and proportionate

Proposal - Interconnectors

- Currently there are 6 interconnectors under development
 - Local and wider transmission works required
 - Risk profile similar to a new generator
- Post-commissioning, interconnector is an extension of the transmission system, much lower risk of termination
- User commitment proposal:
 - Based on CUSC 15 principles (TEC, Wider, Attributable, etc.)
 - Consideration of both import / export capacity drivers
 - No requirement post-commissioning

Proposal – DNO GSPs

- New DNO sites have more parallels with TO – TO arrangements, and are inherently low risk
 - Regulated business
 - Demand growth is predictable
 - No wider works triggered by DNO connections
- User commitment proposal:
 - Formalise existing Final Sums (local) arrangements
 - No requirement post-commissioning
- For clarity, where a new GSP is being driven by an embedded generator, CUSC 15 already applies

Proposal - Direct Connections

- Currently there are 10 new direct connections, all rail supplies
 - No wider or local works triggered
 - Connection works being paid off up-front through capital contributions
- Around 30 post-commissioning sites, majority rail supply
- User commitment proposal:
 - Formalise existing Final Sums (local) arrangements
 - No requirement post-commissioning

Proposal – Pumped Storage

- National Grid view is that pumped storage sites fall into CUSC Section 15 arrangements as being generators
 - One pumped storage site currently signed up
- Feedback from TCMF was that this assumption should be reviewed by a CUSC Workgroup
- Therefore included in proposal for consideration, but no specific changes proposed

Summary of Proposal

	Pre-Commissioning	Post-Commissioning
Interconnectors	CUSC Section 15 (using higher of import/export capacity)	None
Direct Connections	Final Sums (Local)	None
DNO GSPs	Final Sums (Local)	None
Pumped Storage	CUSC Section 15	CUSC Section 15

Progression of CMP222

- The Panel is asked to agree:
 - whether CMP222 should be progressed through Self-governance
 - how to progress CMP222
 - Workgroup
 - Code Administrator Consultation
 - whether CMP222 is exempt from the ongoing SCR

CMP222 Workgroup Timetable

27 September	Proposal presented to CUSC Panel
W/C 30 September	Workgroup Nominations and draft ToR circulated
W/C 21 October	Workgroup Meeting 1
W/C 4 November	Workgroup Meeting 2
13 November	WG Consultation issued for 1 week Workgroup comment
20 November	Deadline for Comments
25 November	WG Consultation published
16 December	Deadline for responses
W/C 6 January	WG meeting 3
14 January	Circulate draft WG Report
21 January	Deadline for Comment
23 January	Submit final WG Report to Panel
31 January	Present WG Report at Panel meeting