

Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma**CMP363: 'TNUoS Demand Residual charges for transmission connected sites with a mix of Final and non-Final Demand & Definition changes for CMP363'****CMP364: Definition changes for CMP363**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on 1 June 2021**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details
Respondent name:	Lauren Jauss
Company name:	RWE Supply & Trading GmbH
Email address:	Lauren.jauss@rwe.com
Phone number:	07979 933445

CMP363 - For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:

- That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;*
- Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and*
- Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.*

**Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

CMP364 - For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:

- a) *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
- b) *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- c) *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*
- d) *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

**Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

CMP363

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions – CMP363		
1	Do you believe that the CMP363 Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	We support the CMP363 Original Proposal. We believe it better facilitates Charging Objective a) and Non-Charging Objective b) by ensuring transmission connected generators co-located on sites with on-site Final Demand are liable for the same TNUoS and BSUoS charges as those without on-site Final Demand. We also believe it better facilitates Charging Objective c) and Non-Charging Objective a) because NGESO has been directed to raise this modification and implement its effects by the Authority.
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	We support the proposed implementation approach.
3	Do you have any other comments?	We do not have any other comments.
4	Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?	We do not wish to raise an Alternative Request.

CMP364

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions – CMP364		
1	Do you believe that the CMP364 Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	We support the CMP364 Original Proposal. Please refer to our response to CMP363 Question 1 for our reasoning.
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	We support the proposed implementation approach.
3	Do you have any other comments?	We do not have any other comments.
4	Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?	We do not wish to raise an Alternative Request.

CMP363 and CMP364 Specific questions

Modification Specific Workgroup Consultation questions		
5	The Workgroup does not believe there are any Grid Code or BSC requirements that would prohibit the CMP363/364 Original Proposal. Do you agree or do you believe that any other consequential code changes are required to facilitate this change? Please provide the rationale for your response.	We are not aware of any other consequential code changes that are required.
6	The Workgroup has assessed the	We are not aware of any other scenarios that need to be tested.

	<p>practicalities of the proposed solution against a number of different scenarios, which are represented diagrammatically in Annex 4. Do you agree with the Workgroup's initial assessment and do you believe there are any other scenarios that need to be tested?</p>	
7	<p>Do you believe that the Metering should be Settlement Metering (as per the Original proposal) or Operational Metering? Please provide the rationale for your response including if possible, any implementation costs.</p>	<p>We believe the metering should be Settlement Metering in order to ensure accurate and robust data is used for charging and to properly take site losses into account.</p>
8	<p>The Proposer has noted that the definition of Declaration does not need to change. Do you agree? Please provide the rationale for your response.</p>	<p>We agree that the definition of Declaration does not need to change.</p>
9	<p>The Proposer has set out what they believe should be contained in any Declaration. Do you agree? Please provide the rationale for your response.</p>	<p>We agree with the content of the declaration as proposed by the Proposer.</p>
10	<p>Will the CMP363 and/or CMP364 Original Proposal impact your business. If so, how?</p>	<p>The CMP363 and/or CMP364 Original Proposal does not impact our business.</p>