

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

**Meeting Name: CMP328 Workgroup 6**

**Date:** 25 May 2021

## Contact Details

**Chair:** Paul Mullen

**Contact details:** [paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com)

**Proposer:** Joanna Knight

**Contact details:** [Joanna.Knight@sse.com](mailto:Joanna.Knight@sse.com)

## Key areas of discussion

- CMP328 proposes to put in place an appropriate process to be utilised when any connection triggers a Distribution Impact Assessment (DIA).
- The Chair presented the revised end to end timeline for CMP328 and Workgroup remained content with this.
- The primary purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed Workgroup Alternatives:
  - Request for Alternative 1 – Revise the Third-Party Works process;
  - Request for Alternative 2 - Original but apply to all new transmission connecting assets; and
  - Request for Alternative 3 – Request for Alternative 2 + using applicability criteria rather than blanket 1MW threshold.

Following this discussion:

- The Proposer agreed to amend their Original so that CMP328 applies to all new transmission connecting assets and therefore “Request for Alternative 2” is no longer required; and
- The Workgroup agreed that it would be beneficial to seek input from all Distribution Network Operators ahead of the next Workgroup meeting on the criteria that is proposed in the “Request for Alternative 2”. They also noted that each Distribution Network Operator should apply the same criteria (and not use additional criteria) and the criteria being applied should be visible to stakeholders. However, it was recognised that some of these criteria may not be applicable for every Distribution Network Operator as they would have different pinch points.

- The ESO will update the legal text for the “Original”, “Request for Alternative 1” and the “Request for Alternative 3<sup>1</sup>” to address the points raised which included:
  - Clarity on the timescales and when they apply from; and
  - The need for transparency/publication that a Cost Benefit Analysis and/or a DIA has been triggered + any outcomes in a particular area as avoids unnecessary requests being made by parties.
  
- The Chair also presented a table as to how the contractual arrangements for the DIA could work and clarity was needed on whether or not there would be any pre-assessment costs.

## Next Steps

Milestone	Who	Date
<b>Re: proposed Workgroup Alternative to use “criteria” rather than a blanket 1MW threshold:</b> <b>a) issue to DNOs the proposed criteria + proposed frequency for updating the thresholds; and</b> <b>b) receive response from the DNOs</b>	<b>Matt Baller/Deborah MacPherson</b>	<b>a) 28 May 2021 - <i>Completed</i></b> <b>b) 8 June 2021</b>
<b>Issue updated legal text for the Original Proposal and ESO’s proposed Workgroup Alternative on enhancements to Third Party Works process (<i>including consideration of timings, the publication of the request and outcome and any boundaries of influence</i>)</b>	<b>Matt Baller/Grahame Neale</b>	<b>9 June 2021</b>
<b>Issue legal text (<i>including the criteria themselves</i>) for the proposed Workgroup Alternative to use “criteria” rather than a blanket 1MW threshold</b>	<b>Matt Baller/Grahame Neale</b>	<b>9 June 2021</b>
<b>Consider timing of STC changes (potential discussion with Ofgem on whether or not they expect to see both the CUSC and STC changes)</b>	<b>Paul Mullen/Matt Baller</b>	<b>9 June 2021</b>
<b>Consider any DNO process changes that need to be made</b>	<b>Grahame Neale/Matt Baller</b>	<b>9 June 2021</b>
<b>Issue draft Workgroup Report and Alternative/Workgroup Vote (including guidance) template</b>	<b>Code Admin</b>	<b>9 June 2021 (part of Papers for 16 June 2021 meeting)</b>
<b>Next Meeting</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>16 June 2021</b>

For further information, please contact Paul Mullen.

---

<sup>1</sup> This will become “Request for Alternative 2”