

## Workgroup Vote – Stage 2

### CMP317 and CMP327: Workgroup Vote

**Please note:** To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

#### Stage 2 - Workgroup Vote

2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

2b) If WACMs exist, vote on whether each WACM better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives better than the Original Modification Proposal.

2c) Vote on which of the options is best.

#### The Applicable CUSC Objectives (Charging) are:

- a. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- b. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);
- c. That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;
- d. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1 \*; and
- e. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

\*Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

**Workgroup Vote**

**Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives**

To assess the original and WACMs against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

Y = Yes, N = No, (-) = Neutral

ACO = Applicable CUSC Objective

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates ACO (a)	Better facilitates ACO (b)	Better facilitates ACO (c)	Better facilitates ACO (d)	Better facilitates ACO (e)	Overall (Y/N)
Robert Longden – Cornwall Insight						
Original	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 1	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 2	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 3	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 4	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 5	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 6	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 7	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 8	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 9	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 10	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 11	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 12	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 13	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 14	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 15	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 16	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 17	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 18	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 19	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 20	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 21	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 22	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 23	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 24	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 25	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 26	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 27	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y

WACM 28	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 29	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 30	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 31	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 32	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 33	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 34	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 35	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 36	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 37	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 38	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 39	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 40	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 41	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 42	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 43	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 44	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 45	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 46	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 47	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 48	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 49	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 50	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 51	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 52	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 53	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 54	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 55	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 56	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 57	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 58	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 59	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 60	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 61	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 62	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 63	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 64	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 65	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 66	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 67	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 68	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 69	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 70	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 71	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 72	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 73	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 74	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y

WACM 75	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 76	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 77	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 78	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 79	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 80	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 81	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 82	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y
WACM 83	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y

**Voting Statement:**

In so far as the Original and all the potential alternatives provide a solution to the issues identified by CMP 317 and CMP 327 they must all be considered as better than the baseline. It is unfortunate that the required clarity was not available to the work group to enable a more precise definition of which costs should eventually be considered relevant for the purposes of the Limiting Regulation. The number of alternatives reflects not the appetite of the work group to propose them, but the need to provide sufficient flexibility for an appropriate decision to be made in the absence of clarity.

TNUoS costs and congestion costs are not “interchangeable” and any solution which requires the final relevant TNUoS sums to be distorted (by other costs) to achieve compliance suggests that a more fundamental overhaul of industry wide cost recovery mechanisms is required. If reliable data is used and prudence applied at the outset of any calculations, then the use of a particular target within a range appears hard to justify, apart from a target of G(avg) = zero (to give maximum headroom for “other costs”).

Given the magnitude of the potential changes, a phased approach appears to be necessary.

**Stage 2b – WACM Vote (If required)**

Where one or more WACMs exist, does each WACM better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the Original Modification Proposal?

<b>Workgroup Member (Insert Name)</b>	
<b>WACM</b>	<b>Better than Original Yes/No</b>
WACM 1	<b>Y</b>
WACM 2	<b>N</b>
WACM 3	<b>N</b>
WACM 4	<b>N</b>
WACM 5	<b>N</b>
WACM 6	<b>N</b>
WACM 7	<b>N</b>
WACM 8	<b>Y</b>
WACM 9	<b>N</b>
WACM 10	<b>N</b>
WACM 11	<b>N</b>
WACM 12	<b>N</b>

WACM 13	<b>N</b>
WACM 14	<b>N</b>
WACM 15	<b>Y</b>
WACM 16	<b>N</b>
WACM 17	<b>N</b>
WACM 18	<b>N</b>
WACM 19	<b>N</b>
WACM 20	<b>N</b>
WACM 21	<b>N</b>
WACM 22	<b>Y</b>
WACM 23	<b>N</b>
WACM 24	<b>N</b>
WACM 25	<b>N</b>
WACM 26	<b>N</b>
WACM 27	<b>N</b>
WACM 28	<b>N</b>
WACM 29	<b>Y</b>
WACM 30	<b>N</b>
WACM 31	<b>N</b>
WACM 32	<b>N</b>
WACM 33	<b>N</b>
WACM 34	<b>N</b>
WACM 35	<b>N</b>
WACM 36	<b>Y</b>
WACM 37	<b>N</b>
WACM 38	<b>N</b>
WACM 39	<b>N</b>
WACM 40	<b>N</b>
WACM 41	<b>N</b>
WACM 42	<b>N</b>
WACM 43	<b>Y</b>
WACM 44	<b>N</b>
WACM 45	<b>N</b>
WACM 46	<b>N</b>
WACM 47	<b>N</b>
WACM 48	<b>N</b>
WACM 49	<b>N</b>
WACM 50	<b>Y</b>
WACM 51	<b>N</b>
WACM 52	<b>N</b>
WACM 53	<b>N</b>
WACM 54	<b>N</b>
WACM 55	<b>N</b>
WACM 56	<b>N</b>

WACM 57	<b>Y</b>
WACM 58	<b>N</b>
WACM 59	<b>N</b>
WACM 60	<b>N</b>
WACM 61	<b>N</b>
WACM 62	<b>N</b>
WACM 63	<b>N</b>
WACM 64	<b>Y</b>
WACM 65	<b>N</b>
WACM 66	<b>N</b>
WACM 67	<b>N</b>
WACM 68	<b>N</b>
WACM 69	<b>N</b>
WACM 70	<b>N</b>
WACM 71	<b>Y</b>
WACM 72	<b>N</b>
WACM 73	<b>N</b>
WACM 74	<b>N</b>
WACM 75	<b>N</b>
WACM 76	<b>N</b>
WACM 77	<b>N</b>
WACM 78	<b>Y</b>
WACM 79	<b>N</b>
WACM 80	<b>N</b>
WACM 81	<b>N</b>
WACM 82	<b>N</b>
WACM 83	<b>N</b>

**Stage 2c – Workgroup Vote**

Which option is the best? (Baseline, Proposer solution (Original Proposal), WACM1 or WACM2)

Workgroup Member	Company	BEST Option?	Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable)
			As noted above, consideration of BSC and congestion costs has to be included as options but are not preferable to a “TNUoS only” solution. Phasing is necessary with a change of this magnitude. Asset

			definition is for the Authority to determine. This leaves WACMs 1, 8 and 15 as preferable to both the baseline and the Original
--	--	--	---