

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## Meeting Name: CMP320 Workgroup 2

Date: 8 October 2019

## Contact Details

Chair: Paul Mullen

Contact details: paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Jennifer Geraghty

Contact details: Jennifer.geraghty@sse.com

## Key areas of discussion

- The objective of the workgroup was to discuss the industry responses received as part of the workgroup consultation which ran from 6 to 27 September 2019 – notably the proposed workgroup consultation alternatives and the proposed changes to the legal text.
- Two Workgroup Consultation Alternative Requests have been proposed though not formally raised. These cover:
  - Not limiting the solution to remote island generation and extending the solution to cover all connections with the same characteristics. Proposer and another Workgroup member believed this should be raised as a separate Modification as the defect is clearly limited to remote island generation. However, some Workgroup Members argued that CUSC 8.20.1<sup>1</sup> is clear that any “Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification can also relate to the issue identified in the CUSC Modification Proposal”. The legal text has already been developed for this scenario; and
  - Redefining what a MITS node is in terms of remote islands connected by a single circuit, and to reclassify them as ‘local circuits, thus removing the need to amend the wider TNUoS charging methodology – the proposer of this Workgroup Consultation

---

<sup>1</sup> 8.20.1 If the CUSC Modifications Panel has decided not to proceed directly to wider consultation (or where the provisions of Paragraph 8.19.5 apply), a Workgroup will be established, or an existing Standing Group identified and actioned, by the CUSC Modifications Panel to assist the CUSC Modifications Panel in evaluating whether a CUSC Modification Proposal better facilitates achieving the Applicable CUSC Objectives **and whether a Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification(s) would, as compared with the CUSC Modification Proposal, better facilitate achieving the Applicable CUSC Objectives in relation to the issue or defect identified in the CUSC Modification Proposal.....**

Alternative Request has suggested legal text to address this. The majority of the Workgroup believed that this was outside the scope of the defect and would have cross code impacts e.g. MITS Nodes are also defined in other Codes and there could be unintended consequences.

- Proposed changes to the legal text were drafted for the Original and the Generic solution. The legal text proposed for the redefining of the MITS Node solution was also shared and discussed.

### **Next Steps**

- Updated Workgroup Report including legal text, the Workgroup vote template and the 2 workgroup alternatives to be shared with Workgroup on 11 October 2019 with comments requested by 25 October 2019;
- Meeting set up for 8 November to hold Workgroup Vote with the aim of then seeking Panel approval at the CUSC Panel meeting on 29 November 2019 that the Workgroup have met it's terms of reference and the Modification can proceed to Code Administrator Consultation.

For further information, please contact Paul Mullen.