nationalgrid



Firm Frequency Response (FFR) Market Information Report for Jun-18

Published Apr-18

Key Points

This Market Information Report is relevant for tenders submitted in May-18 for delivery in **Jun-18**.

Tenders from eligible service providers for Firm Frequency Response should be submitted on **Tue 01-May-18** (1st business day) for all tenders.

National Grid will notify service providers of the outcome of the tender assessment, and preliminary nominations, by **Thu 17-May-18** (12th business day).

From January 2018, non-compliant tenders will be rejected prior to assessment.

Providers must use the template provided in the Ariba system to tender in for FFR. Use of any other template or submissions via e-mail will not be accepted.

Please note that this is a month ahead only tender. Tenders should therefore be submitted for **Jun-18** delivery and should bot

The details regarding the dates, times and dial in details for the upcoming FFR Result WebExs can be found here.

For further information please contact your account manager or:

Andrew Rice
Andrew.Rice@nationalgrid.com

This Market Information Report provides information to FFR providers on the requirement for the May-18 tender (TR 100) for delivery in Jun-18.

Requirements for Jun-18 (TR 101)

Primary Response:
A primary dynamic requirement exists in EFA blocks 1 and 2. With no primary non-dynamic market in existence, procurement of this volume in EFA blocks 3 to 6 will instead be opened up to the dynamic market.

Secondary Response:
A secondary dynamic requirement exists in EFA blocks 1 and 2. For the remaining EFA blocks in the day, the dynamic requirement for secondary response has been satisfied.

A non-dynamic requirement exists across all 6 EFA blocks. In the instance where this requirement cannot be filled in the non-dynamic market, the volume will be opened up to be satisfied in the dynamic market.

High Response:
A high response requirement is present across all 6 EFA blocks in the day.

A breakdown of the outstanding requirement for this tender round can be found in Appendix 1. A full 30 month breakdown can be found in Appendix 1 in the excel file.

Please note that submitted tenders must have a minimum window availability of 4 hours in line with EFA blocks.

Market Updates

Simplification of FFR
FFR Auction Trial
Ahead of the FFR auction trial in December 2018 in which weekly FFR procurement will be undertaken, a portion of the dynamic and non-dynamic FFR requirement will be transferred from the monthly tenders to the weekly auction. The exact volume is to be determined and further information will be provided once confirmed.

New

5 explanatory videos have been uploaded to the National Grid website. Each video focuses on a different element of Frequency response as a balancing service, how Electricity National Control Centre makes use of it and how the Firm Frequency Response assessment is undertaken.

To view the videos, click on the linked images below.

Video 1
How balancing services work



Video 2
The National Grid electricity control room



Video 3
Frequency response

Video 4
Firm frequency response

Video 5
The FFR assessment process

EFA Block Procurement
From tender round 101, the standardisation outlined in the Product Road Map will take effect. Volume will no longer be procured by settlement period. Procurement will instead take place across the standard 6 EFA blocks. Tenders must therefore only start, and end, at the following times: 2300, 0300 0700 1100 1500 1900.

The minimum requirement across each specific EFA block will determine how much volume will be procured for each of the 6 daily 4 hour blocks.

Any outstanding shape will be satisfied, where necessary closer to real time by the Electricity National Control Centre.

Contract Duration
Tenderers will only be able to submit for fixed monthly, quarterly and seasonal durations. In tender round 101, tenders can only be submitted for month ahead delivery.

Testing
Continuing on from tender round 100, providers will be required to have successfully passed FFR testing of their asset prior to tendering in for month ahead requirements. E.g. If tendering to provide a FFR service starting on 1st June, the unit must have passed testing prior to the tender submission window closing on the 1st business day in May. Tenders that do not meet this requirement will be deemed non-compliant and will be automatically rejected.

Limiting tenders
Providers are limited to submitting 2 tenders per unit, per tender period. A tender period is considered to be; month ahead, quarter ahead and per season. All or nothing bids will be considered as 1 tender submission.

Apr-18 FFR Tender Round (TR 100) results

77 FFR tenders were received from **17** providers across **33** units. **41** tenders were for dynamic FFR and **36** tenders were for the non-dynamic service. TR 100 represented a month ahead only tender round giving providers the opportunity to tender in volume to be accepted for delivery in May-18 only. This tender round also marked the last round in which settlement period specific procurement would be undertaken.

15 contracts were awarded to **8** providers.

In May-18 **57** active contracts will provide response across each day. They are made up of:

- **28** dynamic contracts
- **29** non-dynamic t contracts
- **5** contracts by BMU providers
- **52** contracts by NBMU providers

The chart below provides a breakdown of the tenders received over the last year.



FFR service Overview



Interactive guidance document

Product Roadmap

This document sets out the actions to be taken forward for frequency response and reserve markets and details the principles that will govern the way that balancing services are procured in future.

Key messages

Tender rejection codes

The table below provides guidance as to the reasons why a tender has been rejected. They can be matched against the numbers in the 'Reason Code' section of the Post Tender Report. Please note that reason 1 has been updated. The new commentary will apply from TR 98 onwards.

No.	FFR Reason Code	Comment
1	Beneficial	While the price submitted was considered beneficial, on this occasion this tender was not accepted for one of the following reasons: **1.1.** The outstanding requirement has already been satisfied by more beneficial tenders **1.2.** There was no outstanding requirement **1.3.** The desired volume against the National Grid procurement strategy for future tender months had already been satisfied **1.4.** This tender formed part of an all-or-nothing group which did not collectively deliver enough benefit to be considered
2	Price not beneficial across tendered period	The price submitted was too high and did not provide any contract benefit against alternative actions including the mandatory and optional market.
3	Does not meet tender prerequisites	Please refer to the 'Technical Parameters' section using the following link to determine the criteria necessary to participate in the FFR market https://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/electricity/balancing-services/frequency-response-services/firm-frequency-response
4	Multiple tenders received for the same unit	Only the most valuable tender(s) of the total group of submitted tenders was considered.

Enhanced Frequency Response (EFR)

Now that EFR batteries have become operational, the volume of response that will be provided from units with an EFR contract will be included in the amount of already procured dynamic response. EFR will be considered on a 1 for 1 basis where 1MW of EFR is equal to 1MW of dynamic FFR. These contracts begin delivering between October 2017 and March 2018. The MW provided from EFR contracts will be phased in in the information provided in the MIR charts. Between now and July 2018 EFR contracts have been assumed to provide 50% of their contracted volume. From July 2018, this assumption is amended to reflect all contracts delivering 100% of their contracted volume.

Procured Volume

When determining which tenders to accept, National Grid will take account of its planned procurement strategy. In general, a measured approach is taken to determine the appropriate volume to procure throughout the duration of the tendering.

Appendix 1: Jun-18 Requirement Volume Tables

Dynamic FFR requirements for TR 100

EFA Block	Dynamic response required (MW)		
	Primary	Secondary	High
1	352	219	119
2	352	219	119
3	0	0	168
4	0	0	168
5	0	0	178
6	0	0	168

Non-Dynamic FFR requirements for TR 100

Settlement Period	Non-Dynamic response required (MW)		
	Primary	Secondary	High
1	0	142	0
2	0	155	0
3	101	183	0
4	116	188	0
5	28	161	0
6	33	160	0

Appendix 2: Jun-18 Requirements

The three charts below display the volume of frequency response left to contract for the month ahead against the total response requirements. The red bars represent existing contracted service provision (both dynamic and non-dynamic) including any optional non-FFR services routinely used that NG forecast to be cost effective for the month ahead. The grey shaded area is the remaining volume to contract.

As this is a month ahead tender, volume to fill the requirement will be taken from either dynamic or non-dynamic providers where deemed economic to do so. The breakdown of the requirement against dynamic and non-dynamic response can be seen in the tables in appendix 1.

In the move to standard EFA block window durations, the minimum of the total requirement across each EFA block outlines the level to be procured. In light of this transition, the minimum dynamic requirement still remains a key component to be satisfied and outstanding volume against this will continue to be procured for operational purposes. For June-18, this is highlighted in the table above.







Appendix 4: Historical Profile of Firm Frequency Response (FFR) Value

The following information provides a historical overview of FFR value variation during the last two years. A breakdown of the relative values of Primary, Secondary and High Response over the same two years is also provided. This study is based on historical data taken from **1 October 2015** to **30 September 2017**. It is the same data used to calculate the costs reported within the Monthly Balancing Services Summary and for the avoidance of doubt is not a forecast of future value variation.
The FFR assessment principles document highlights that the main economical assessment of the value of individual FFR tenders is based upon the following costs:

- Cost of alternative service holding fees
- Cost of alternative utilisation (Bid Offer Acceptances)
- Cost of alternative margin services (BM Offers)

As the profile across the day is different across these three alternative actions, the costs have been combined for reasons of simplicity. It is important however, to note that the assessment has to use forecasts for some of these alternative costs. The assessment therefore has to take account of the associated uncertainty with using forecasts when considering the value of any tender for any time period. From this point, the document will refer to the value of FFR.

The relative values shown in Figures 1 and 2 provide a comparison of every settlement period relative to each other.

The lower, average and upper relative values for each of the 48 settlement periods that make up daily cost have been calculated and plotted in Figure 1 (summer) and Figure 2 (winter). Periods of low and high value are highlighted in Figure 1. Higher value periods are typically a result of the use of alternative margin services, especially notable in the winter during Settlement Periods **33-39**.



Figure 1: Proportional Value of FFR by Settlement Period (Summer)

The following is an example of how FFR values are assessed. In Figure 2, for Settlement Period 17, the average relative value is approximately **2%** while for Period 35, the proportional value is approximately **4%**. The interpretation is therefore that period 35 is **2** times more valuable than Period 17.

The breakdown of the Primary, Secondary and High Response values over the same time period are included in the Appendix in Table 1 (summer) and Table 2 (winter).

This breakdown shows that during the winter overnight settlement periods (33-41) there is a larger share of value in Secondary Response with 70-75% which reflects the value provided from margin.

Contrast this to the summer, during overnight settlement periods (3-12) there is a significant proportion of value in High Response (40-45%). This is because demand is likely to be low, resulting in a greater requirement and hence value of high response.



Figure 2: Relative Value of FFR by Settlement Period (Winter)

Appendix 5: Proportional Value of FFR by Settlement Period

The tables below provide the background data to figures 1 and 2 above. This data is also contained in Appendix 5 of the excel file.

Table 1: Summer (Apr – Oct)

Settlement Period	Summer		
	Proportional Value		
	Average	Lower	Upper
1	0.020433	0.0090568	0.03181
2	0.021533	0	0.058754
3	0.02018	0.0081317	0.032229
4	0.019801	0.0058907	0.033711
5	0.019361	0.0078785	0.030843
6	0.018686	0.0094367	0.027936
7	0.018457	0.0088851	0.028029
8	0.018504	0.0089619	0.028047
9	0.018507	0.0089062	0.028107
10	0.018245	0.0088284	0.027662
11	0.019289	0.0056872	0.032892
12	0.020073	0.009725	0.030422
13	0.025019	0.0105523	0.039486
14	0.02808	0.0118922	0.044268
15	0.026033	0.0104737	0.041593
16	0.023951	0.0088068	0.039096
17	0.023892	0.0046278	0.043156
18	0.019869	0.0070425	0.032696
19	0.020594	0.0063904	0.034798
20	0.019489	0.006019	0.032959
21	0.018779	0.00655	0.031007
22	0.018075	0.0063674	0.029783
23	0.018244	0.0063993	0.030089
24	0.017886	0.0066154	0.029157
25	0.017239	0.0056884	0.02879
26	0.017	0.0048734	0.029127
27	0.016449	0.0058103	0.027087
28	0.015408	0.0056937	0.025122
29	0.01612	0.0052163	0.027023
30	0.016342	0.0059913	0.026693
31	0.016994	0.0052611	0.028727
32	0.018199	0.0046871	0.031711
33	0.019186	0.0056874	0.032684
34	0.023452	0.0024111	0.044493
35	0.024541	0.0027122	0.046369
36	0.02634	0	0.068389
37	0.025958	0.0075351	0.04438
38	0.026383	0.0060569	0.046709
39	0.026555	0.0087153	0.044395
40	0.025606	0.0092317	0.041981
41	0.026448	0.0070774	0.045819
42	0.023572	0.0103709	0.036773
43	0.024375	0.0022737	0.046476
44	0.02059	0.0073474	0.033834
45	0.020356	0.0068297	0.033882
46	0.019532	0.0082147	0.03085
47	0.020451	0.0106712	0.03023
48	0.019923	0.0091385	0.030707

Table 2: Winter (Nov – Mar)

Settlement Period	Winter		
	Proportional Value		
	Average	Lower	Upper
1	0.02098886	0	0.052636
2	0.01847584	0.0061735	0.030778
3	0.01731116	0.0074099	0.027212
4	0.01609112	0.0073866	0.024796
5	0.01599554	0.0066316	0.025359
6	0.01570355	0.0069584	0.024449
7	0.01583563	0.0075677	0.024104
8	0.01574464	0.0074063	0.024083
9	0.01646762	0.0074777	0.025458
10	0.0167957	0.0077324	0.025859
11	0.0180945	0.007994	0.028195
12	0.01912494	0.0081814	0.030069
13	0.02252939	0.0085995	0.036459
14	0.02292868	0.005685	0.040172
15	0.02227854	0.0075098	0.037047
16	0.01969832	0.0081764	0.03122
17	0.02009697	0.0060541	0.03414
18	0.01854429	0.0049941	0.032094
19	0.02077347	0	0.051282
20	0.01763538	0.0049166	0.030354
21	0.01775842	0.005324	0.030193
22	0.01627084	0.0060666	0.026475
23	0.01726167	0.0050217	0.029502
24	0.01789986	0.0053639	0.030436
25	0.01862037	0.0042198	0.033021
26	0.01841293	0.0038142	0.033012
27	0.01863923	0.0031333	0.034145
28	0.01770455	0.0045913	0.030818
29	0.02020937	0.0034979	0.036921
30	0.01915349	0.0059967	0.03231
31	0.02006174	0.0083366	0.031787
32	0.0221834	0.0075234	0.036843
33	0.02410633	0.0083769	0.039836
34	0.032578	0.0127633	0.052393
35	0.03334998	0.0124873	0.054213
36	0.03288638	0.0140503	0.051722
37	0.03228603	0.0132391	0.051333
38	0.03121332	0.0109266	0.0515
39	0.02992614	0.0103686	0.049484
40	0.0259286	0.009995	0.041862
41	0.02453442	0.0104726	0.038596
42	0.02176889	0.0060094	0.037528
43	0.02023719	0.0052538	0.035221
44	0.0174795	0.0081903	0.026769
45	0.01873756	0.0070827	0.030392
46	0.01935592	0.0042082	0.034504
47	0.02039713	0.0079027	0.032892
48	0.02023475	0.0038269	0.036643

Appendix 6: Proportional Response value by component

This data is also contained in Appendix 5 of the excel file.

Table 1: Summer (Apr – Oct)

Settlement Period	Summer		
	Share of Value		
	Primary	Secondary	High
1	29%	35%	36%
2	38%	41%	22%
3	27%	31%	42%
4	26%	28%	45%
5	25%	25%	49%
6	25%	25%	50%
7	24%	23%	53%
8	24%	23%	53%
9	24%	24%	52%
10	25%	25%	50%
11	25%	31%	44%
12	28%	33%	39%
13	31%	40%	30%
14	31%	43%	26%
15	28%	49%	23%
16	26%	51%	23%
17	25%	53%	21%
18	24%	52%	24%
19	22%	56%	22%
20	22%	54%	24%
21	23%	52%	24%
22	23%	52%	25%
23	23%	52%	25%
24	24%	51%	26%
25	24%	50%	27%
26	23%	50%	27%
27	23%	47%	30%
28	24%	44%	32%
29	21%	50%	29%
30	20%	53%	27%
31	20%	54%	25%
32	21%	55%	24%
33	21%	56%	23%
34	18%	65%	17%
35	19%	65%	16%
36	25%	62%	13%
37	17%	68%	15%
38	17%	67%	15%
39	18%	67%	15%
40	17%	67%	16%
41	19%	65%	16%
42	19%	64%	17%
43	19%	63%	18%
44	17%	62%	21%
45	18%	59%	23%
46	20%	55%	25%
47	29%	43%	28%
48	29%	40%	32%

Table 2: Winter (Nov – Mar)

Settlement Period	Winter		
	Share of Value		
	Primary	Secondary	High
1	26%	42%	32%
2	26%	41%	33%
3	27%	38%	35%
4	26%	35%	38%
5	26%	34%	40%
6	26%	32%	43%
7	25%	31%	43%
8	26%	31%	43%
9	27%	31%	42%
10	27%	32%	41%
11	29%	34%	37%
12	30%	36%	34%
13	28%	45%	28%
14	26%	46%	28%
15	27%	48%	25%
16	25%	49%	26%
17	23%	52%	25%
18	24%	50%	26%
19	25%	54%	21%
20	22%	52%	26%
21	22%	52%	26%
22	22%	52%	26%
23	18%	60%	23%
24	18%	61%	21%
25	18%	62%	21%
26	19%	60%	21%
27	19%	61%	19%
28	19%	60%	20%
29	14%	69%	17%
30	14%	69%	18%
31	14%	69%	17%
32	14%	70%	15%
33	14%	72%	14%
34	16%	73%	11%
35	16%	74%	10%
36	16%	73%	11%
37	18%	71%	11%
38	17%	71%	12%
39	19%	69%	12%
40	20%	65%	15%
41	21%	63%	16%
42	21%	60%	19%
43	22%	55%	23%
44	23%	52%	26%
45	22%	53%	25%
46	24%	48%	27%
47	27%	46%	27%
48	27%	43%	30%