

Risk Assessment of Loss of Mains Protection – Phase II

21 May 2015

Adam Dyśko University of Strathclyde Glasgow, UK e-mail: a.dysko@strath.ac.uk



Outline



- WP1 Hardware testing based characterisation of DG – PNDC
- WP2 Simulation based characterisation of DG
- WP3 Risk assessment calculation
 - Further DG connection register analysis (WPD, ENW and UKPN)
 - Establishing dominant connection groups

WP2 – Simulation based characterisation of DG



- Most popular generation mixes have been considered.
- SM, PV, SM-PV, PV-DFIG, SM-PV-DFIG

LOM Settings



ROCOF

Setting Option	ROCOF [Hz/s]	Time Delay [s]
1	0.13	0
2	0.2	0
3	0.5	0.5
4	1.0	0.5

ENA G-59 / Issue 3

Voltage- Dependent		$V_{\Phi-\Phi}$ [%]	Time Delay [s]
Under	Stage 1	-13	2.5
Voltage	Stage 2	-20	0.5
Over	Stage 1	+10	1.0
Voltage	Stage 2	+13	0.5
Frequency Dependent		Frequency [Hz]	Time Delay [s]
Under	Stage 1	47.5	20
Frequency	Stage 2	47	0.5
Over Frequency	Stage 1	51.5	90
	Stage 2	52	0.5

Load Modelling



Active & Reactive Power

$$P(s) = P_0 \cdot \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{n_p} \cdot \frac{1 + T_{p_1}s}{1 + T_{p_2}s}$$

$$Q(s) = P_0 \cdot \left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right)^{n_q} \cdot \frac{1 + T_{q1}s}{1 + T_{q2}s}$$

Where:

V₀ : Initial Positive Sequence Voltage

V : Positive Sequence Voltage

 P_0, Q_0 : Initial active and reactive power at the initial voltage V_0 n_p, n_q : Exponents controlling the nature of the load for P and Q $T_{p1}, T_{p2}, T_{q1}, T_{q2}$; Time constants controlling P and Q

n=1.3 was used in simulations

GC0050 Demand Control (OC6)



Demand Control Effectiveness

- First queried during Exercise Phoenix (2006/7)
- Limited tests carried out by EDF Energy and Central Networks in Summer and Autumn 2008
- Tests were on 3 types of demand
 - Domestic, commercial & large industrial
- 3% voltage reduction gave average of 3.4% demand reduction (5% historically expected)
- Minimum 2.6%, maximum 5.1% demand reduction
- Reduction was sustained but difficult to identify amongst normal demand variation
- Customer supplies may be interrupted earlier than previously anticipated

Load response at 3% voltage reduction







 n_p

Non Detection Zone



SG



Generation Mix Stability Studies

Synchronous Generator & Photovoltaic Panels





	2 MVA Total Installed Capacity				
	Case SG [%] PV [%]				
	1	75	25		
	2	50	50		
Unstable	3	25	75		



Non Detection Zone

SG – PV 75% - 25%

Constant Z			
Setting Option	NDZ-P [%]	NDZ-Q [%]	
1	1	0.89	
2	1.4	1.45	
3	3.6	20.5	
4	5.9	24.28	

Dynamic			
Setting Option	NDZ-P [%]	NDZ-Q [%]	
1	0.175	8.05	
2	0.179	8.06	
3	Х	Х	
4	Х	Х	

Frequer	Frequency Based			
NDZ-P	NDZ-P NDZ-Q			
[%]	[%]			
87.39	5.96			

Voltage Based		
NDZ-P NDZ-Q		
[%]	[%]	
>100	>100	





Synchronous Generator

University of Strathclyde Engineering

Overload vs Underload -Active Power-





Synchronous Generator

University of Strathclyde Engineering

Overload vs Underload -Reactive Power-





Generation Mix Stability Studies

PV & DFIG



2 MVA Total Installed Capacity			
Case	PV Panels [%]	DFIG [%]	
1	75	25	
2	50	50	
3	25	75	









Active Power Imbalance: 0% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance: 5% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance: 0% Reactive Power Imbalance: 5%	
ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1	2	1
3	0	3	0	3	0
4	0	4	0	4	0
Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip
Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0
Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0
Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip
Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0
Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0





Time [s]



G59 / Issue 3 Settings			
Voltage Protection	Trip		
Under Voltage	0		
Over Voltage	0		
Frequency Protection	Trip		
Under Frequency	0		
Over Frequency	0		

10 % Active Power Imbalance





Time [s]



Time [s]

G59 / Issue 3 Settings			
Voltage Protection	Trip		
Under Voltage	0		
Over Voltage	0		
Frequency Protection	Trip		
Under Frequency	0		
Over Frequency	0		

20 % Active Power Imbalance











G59 / Issue 3 Settings			
Voltage Protection	Trip		
Under Voltage	0		
Over Voltage	0		
Frequency Protection	Trip		
Under Frequency	0		
Over Frequency	0		

30 % Active Power Imbalance













G59 / Issue 3 Settings				
Voltage Protection	Trip			
Under Voltage	1			
Over Voltage	0			
Frequency Protection	Trip			
Under Frequency	0			
Over Frequency	0			

10 % Active Power Imbalance

50 % Constant Z Load 50 % Constant P Load







1

4





G59 / Issue 3 Settings				
Voltage Protection	Trip			
Under Voltage	1			
Over Voltage	0			
Frequency Protection	Trip			
Under Frequency	0			
Over Frequency	1			

20 % Active Power Imbalance

50 % Constant Z Load 50 % Constant P Load











G59 / Issue 3 Settings				
Voltage Protection	Trip			
Under Voltage	1			
Over Voltage	0			
Frequency Protection	Trip			
Under Frequency	1			
Over Frequency	1			

0 % Active Power Imbalance







Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	e: 0% e: 0%	Active Power Imbalance: 5% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance: 0 Reactive Power Imbalance:	
ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1	2	1
3	0	3	0	3	0
4	0	4	0	4	0
Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip
Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0
Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0
Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip
Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0
Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0







Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	e: 0% e: 0%	Active Power Imbalance: 5% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance: 0% Reactive Power Imbalance: 5%	
ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1	2	1
3	0	3	0	3	0
4	0	4	0	4	0
Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip
Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0
Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0
Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip
Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0
Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0	OverFrequency	0

Generation Mix Stability Studies

SG - PV - DFIG



	2 MVA Total Installed Capacity			
	Case SG [%] PV [%] DFIG [%]			
	1	70	15	15
	2	15	70	15
Unstable	 3	15	15	70









Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	e: 0% e: 0%	Active Power Imbalance: 5% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	: 0% e: 5%
ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1	2	1
3	0	3	0	3	0
4	0	4	0	4	0
Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip
Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0
Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0
Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip
Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0
Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0







Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	e: 0% e: 0%	Active Power Imbalance: 5% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	e: 0% ce: 5%
ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	2	1	2	1
3	0	3	0	3	0
4	0	4	0	4	0
Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip
Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	0
Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	1	Over Voltage	0
Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip
Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0
Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	1	Over Frequency	0





DFIG

Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	e: 0% e: 0%	Active Power Imbalance: 5% Reactive Power Imbalance: 0%		Active Power Imbalance Reactive Power Imbalance	: 0% e: 5%
ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip	ROCOF Setting	Trip
1	1	1	0	1	1
2	1	2	0	2	1
3	0	3	0	3	0
4	0	4	0	4	0
Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip	Voltage Protection	Trip
Under Voltage	0	Under Voltage	1	Under Voltage	1
Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0	Over Voltage	0
Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip	Frequency Protection	Trip
Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0	Under Frequency	0
Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0	Over Frequency	0



DG register analysis (WPD, ENW and UKPN – Needs Updating)



- Technologies with cumulative contribution of 10% or less were removed from the mix.
- The remaining generation was scaled up to the full capacity installed at the primary substation
- Data from WPD, ENW and UKPN has been included. UKPN needs updated.



Establishing dominant groups (WPD).





Establishing dominant groups (ENW).



Establishing dominant groups (WPD, ENW).



University of Strath

Engineering

Group	Substations	Percentage
SM	60	5.5
PV	544	49.5
DFIG	5	0.5
IM	0	0.0
PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV	283	25.8
SM, DFIG	10	0.9
SM, IM	1	0.1
SM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG	139	12.7
PV, IM	10	0.9
PV, PMSG	1	0.1
DFIG, IM	0	0.0
DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG	39	3.6
SM, PV, IM	1	0.1
SM, PV, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, IM	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG, IM	3	0.3
PV. DFIG, PMSG	1	0.1
PV, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG, IM	1	0.1
SM, PV, DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
Total	1098	100.0



- Establishing dominant groups (WPD).
- Groups of primary substations with more than 3% of the total population were only considered for risk assessment analysis.

Group	Substations	Percentage
SM	55	15.2
PV	112	30.9
DFIG	7	1.9
IM	0	0.0
PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV	117	32.2
SM, DFIG	7	1.9
SM, IM	0	0.0
SM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG	27	7.4
PV, IM	9	2.5
PV, PMSG	0	0.0
DFIG, IM	1	0.3
DFIG, PMSG	1	0.3
IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG	15	4.1
SM, PV, IM	7	1.9
SM, PV, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, IM	1	0.3
SM, DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG, IM	4	1.1
PV. DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG, IM	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
Total	363	100.0



- Establishing dominant groups (ENW).
 - Groups of primary substations with more than 3% of the total population were only considered for risk assessment analysis.

Group	Substations	Percentage
SM	115	7.9
PV	656	44.9
DFIG	12	0.8
IM	0	0.0
PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV	400	27.4
SM, DFIG	17	1.2
SM, IM	1	0.1
SM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG	166	11.4
PV, IM	19	1.3
PV, PMSG	1	0.1
DFIG, IM	1	0.1
DFIG, PMSG	1	0.1
IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG	54	3.7
SM, PV, IM	8	0.5
SM, PV, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, IM	1	0.1
SM, DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG, IM	7	0.5
PV. DFIG, PMSG	1	0.1
PV, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, DFIG, IM	1	0.1
SM, PV, DFIG, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, PV, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
SM, DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
PV, DFIG, IM, PMSG	0	0.0
Total	64	100.0



- Establishing dominant groups (WPD and ENW).
- Groups of primary substations with more than 3% of the total population were only considered for risk assessment analysis.

Distribution of dominant groups - WPD.







Distribution of dominant groups - ENW.



SM PV SM, PV PV, DFIG SM, PV, DFIG



Distribution of dominant groups – WPD, ENW.



SM PV SM, PV PV, DFIG SM, PV, DFIG

